

VIRGINIA:

A meeting of the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors was held in the courthouse of said county on Thursday, February 27, 2003.

Present: Cundiff H. Simmons, Chair
F. W. Jenkins, Jr., Vice Chair
Donald O. Conaway, Board Member
B. Wally Beauchamp, Board Member
William H. Pennell, Jr., County Administrator

Others

Present: Jack Larson, Planning/Land Use; Joe Staton, Carter White and Robert Harper, Virginia Department of Transportation; Robb Hoff, Rappahannock Record

Mr. Simmons called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

PUBLIC INPUT

None

PRESENTATIONS

1. Honoring Hunter Von Eppihimer – Mr. Pennell stated that recently Hunter Von Eppihimer, a student at Lancaster High School passed away from a long-term disease. At the January 30, 2003 meeting, he was directed by the Board of Supervisors to prepare a resolution in memory of Hunter.

Mr. Beauchamp made a motion to adoption the following resolution honoring the Life of Hunter Von Eppihmer.

HUNTER VON EPPIHIMER

WHEREAS, in one's lifetime, very few people are encountered who represent the epitome of dedication, desire, strength and good humor; and

WHEREAS, Hunter Von Eppihimer was a young person who demonstrated all of these qualities and more; and

WHEREAS, Hunter Von Eppihimer, although stricken with a debilitating disease, dedicated his life to self-sufficiency by becoming fully involved with the activities of life; and

WHEREAS, Hunter Von Eppihimer refused to allow his disabilities to overshadow his abilities or to discourage him from planning a fulfilling future; and

WHEREAS, in spite of needing to use a wheelchair, full time, Hunter Von Eppihimer was a well liked and respected football manager for the Lancaster Middle School and Lancaster High School; and

WHEREAS, Hunter Von Eppihimer was convinced that there was nothing he could not do and taught others that personal strength and commitment is possible in the face of adversity.

WHEREAS, Hunter Von Eppihimer passed away just a few weeks before his fifteenth birthday.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors and all citizens of Lancaster County will fondly remember Hunter Von Eppihimer; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors asks all citizens of Lancaster County to recognize Hunter Von Eppihimer's legacy of dedication, desire, strength and good humor as a role model for young and old of the community.

VOTE:	Cundiff Simmons	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	Donald O. Conway	Aye

Virginia Department of Transportation

Mr. Staton said that with 19 storm events for VDOT there has been \$98,000 for snow removal spent to date. He stated citizens have complained about the snow being pushed in front of their driveway, but there is little the plow drivers can do to prevent this. There are a number of potholes locally and the Robert Harper will have them repaired.

Mr. Staton said the Pre-Allocation hearing for the primary system was held February 25, 2003 and Mr. Pennell did an excellent job representing Lancaster County. There were 14 counties, 10 towns and the City of Fredericksburg with a list of items. There is only enough money to add three projects to the whole district.

Mr. Staton stated there has been negative publicity on the six year plan where 166 projects were knocked off the list last year across the state. VDOT will have begun a new website on March 12th (DASHBOARD) to go to and see if jobs are on target.

Mr. Staton said the legislative bill for statewide bridge funds was killed but wording does indicant bridge money should be used on bridges only. He stated Richmond County has a bridge in need of repairs now.

Mr. Staton said rip rap and concrete have been put around the base of the footing of the two bridges on Route 3 over the Western Branch of the Corrottoman River and traffic has been running smoothly.

Mr. Staton stated the 30” pipes needed to be repaired on Route 621 near the former RCV Seafood in Morattico where 16 houses are to be located.

Mr. Staton said the speed study at Route 622 has been completed and he would try to convince the speed study panel to do the three tier speed limit of 55/45/35 miles per hour because of the curves on that road.

Mr. Beauchamp stated he received a number of calls from citizens about the time sequence being off at the stoplight in Kilmarnock at the intersection of Route 3 and Route 200 toward Burgess.

By consensus of the board, the efforts made by VDOT this month were excellent.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Alan Merkel – Application for Special Exception – Mr. Larson stated a request was made by Alan Merkel for a Special Exception to place a water pumping windmill on property identified as Tax Map #29-55 which is on Mallard Lane near Kilmarnock, VA. This property is zoned R-1, Residential, General and is in Voting District 3.

Mr. Larson said Paragraph 5-1-24 of the Zoning Ordinance permits windmills in this zoning district with a special exception. As indicated on Mr. Merkel application, his intent to use the windmill is to draw water from a shallow well. He is also planning to place the tower supporting this windmill 100’ from any adjoining property line. It will also be outside the 100 foot protective buffer of the Resource Protection Area. There is no height restriction for windmills in

this zoning district. However a windmill of 45' seems reasonable. Also of note is the fact that grain elevators, church spires, silos, etc. are exempt from any height requirement (paragraph 5-6-3). It would follow then that a windmill, while not specifically mentioned, should also be exempt. Adjoining property owners have been notified and advertising has been conducted as required by law. He received a letter from an adjoining property owner with concerns.

Mr. Larson stated he received a letter from adjoining property owners James and Carole Davidson with a list of their concerns: 1) they believe the structure potentially over 40 feet in such close proximity to other residences seems excessive; 2) the noise that would be generated could adversely affect the neighbors as well as the willingness of wildlife to inhabit the tidal pond that adjoins the proposed site; 3) they understand the reason for installing a windmill is to power a shallow well to provide water for plants, but, do not understand why such a massive structure is required to reach such a simple goal; and 4) the location selected would impact the enjoyment of their property; however, an alternative location of the windmill would be acceptable.

Mr. Alan Merkel stated the height of the structure would be 45 feet, it is a noise free operation as an alternative way to get water to plants and for the enjoyment of their property. He is willing to place the windmill on an alternative site.

Mr. Conaway made a motion to approve the Special Exception for Alan Merkel with a note that the windmill could be located at an alternative site.

VOTE:	Cundiff Simmons	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	Donald O. Conway	Aye

2. Execution of Quitclaim Deed – Courthouse Landing – Mr. Larson stated the Board of Supervisors needs to consider the execution of a quitclaim deed on 6.711 acres, more or less described as Avis Road which intersects Route 3 near Lancaster, VA.

Mr. Larson stated that the approval of a subdivision under normal circumstances would dictate that fee simple title to subdivision roads be granted to the County. However, Courthouse Landing subdivision was an exception to the rule. Because Avis Trail will not be totally brought up to state standards by the developer of Courthouse Landing, it was considered imprudent to accept title to the road and the right of way over which it runs at this time. It was felt that to do so could create a potential liability on the part of the county to fund the remainder of the work to meet VDOT specifications. Since the deed had already been drawn transferring title to the County from John Hancock, and since there would be considerable difficulty in getting John Hancock to change the deed to F&J Holdings, the developer of Courthouse Landing, it was agreed that Lancaster County would execute a quitclaim of the deed. The proposed quitclaim deed has been prepared by Mr. John Martin, counsel for F&J Holdings, and is attached. The purpose of this hearing is to properly carry out that action.

Mr. Larson said advertising as required by law has been conducted. To date there has been no input from interested members of the public.

Mr. Beauchamp made a motion to approve the Quitclaim Deed for Courthouse Landing.

VOTE:	Cundiff Simmons	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	Donald O. Conway	Aye

3. Election District 3 – Voting Precincts Ordinance – Mr. Pennell said the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors directed him to prepare an ordinance amendment which splits Election District 3 into two voting precincts – one in White Stone and one in Kilmarnock.

Mr. Pennell stated at the recommendation of the Board of Supervisors, county staff has prepared maps showing the boundaries of precinct #1 and #2 in election district 3 if the boundary line is the southern prong of Dymer Creek at its intersection of Route 3.

Mr. Simmons made a motion to approve the following Voting Precincts Ordinance for Election District 3:

The Lancaster County Board of Supervisors hereby ordains the following amendment to Section 22-2 of the Lancaster County Code of Ordinances:

ELECTIONS

Section 22-2. Same Boundaries.

C. Election District 3.

Precinct 1.

1. Election Precinct and Boundary Description.

Beginning at the Lancaster County boundary with the Northumberland County at the centerline of Indian Creek and its intersection with the centerline of the Jesse Dupont Memorial Highway (VSH 200); thence southwest along the centerline of the Jesse Dupont Memorial Highway (VSH 200) to its intersection with the centerline of Main Street in Kilmarnock (VSH 3); thence southeast

through the town of Kilmarnock to its intersection with the centerline of Barber Shop Lane; thence northeast along the centerline of Barber Shop Lane to its intersection with the centerline of Magnolia Circle; thence west along the centerline of Magnolia Circle to its intersection with the Kilmarnock Town/Lancaster County boundary line; thence along the Kilmarnock Town boundary line until its intersection with the centerline of Waverly Avenue (VSH 608); thence southwest along the centerline of an unnamed dirt road to its intersection with the centerline of Boys Camp Road (VSH 651); thence east along the centerline of Boys Camp Road (VSH 651) for 1,200 feet; thence due south until it intersects with the centerline of Aries Drive; thence southwest along the centerline of Aries Drive until it intersects with the centerline of Fleets Bay Road (VSH 650); thence northwest along the centerline of Fleets Bay Road until it intersects with the centerline of Mary Ball Road (VSH 3); thence south along the centerline of Mary Ball Road (VSH3) to its intersections with the centerline of the southern prong of Dymmer Creek; thence southeast along the extended centerline of the southern prong of Dymmer Creek into Fleets Bay; thence due north until it intersects with the extended centerline of Indian Creek; thence northwest along the centerline of Indian Creek to its intersection with the Jessie Dupont Memorial Highway (VSH 200) which is the beginning.

B. Polling Place:

Grace Episcopal Church, 303 South Main Street, Kilmarnock, VA 22482

Precinct 2.

2. A. Election Precinct and Boundary Description.

Beginning at the southern prong of Dymmer Creek and its intersection with Mary Ball Road (VSH 3); thence south along the centerline of Mary Ball Road (VSH 3) to its intersection with the centerline of Chase's Road (VSH 647); thence

southeast along the centerline of Chase's Road until its intersection with the centerline of Ocran Road (VSH 646); thence west along the centerline of Ocran Road (VSH 646) to its intersection with the centerline of Scott Road (VSH 643); thence southeast along the centerline of Scott Road (VSH 643) to its intersection with the centerline of Little Bay Road (VSH 642); thence south and southwesterly along the centerline of Little Bay Road (VSH 642) to its intersection with the boundary between the Town of White Stone and the County of Lancaster; thence in a northwest direction around the boundary of the Town of White Stone until the boundary intersects with the centerline of Windmill Point Road (VSH 695); thence southeast along the centerline of Windmill Point Road to its intersection with the centerline of Mosquito Point Road (VSH 641); thence southeast along the centerline of Mosquito Point Road (VSH 641) to its intersection with the centerline of Lawson Bay Road; thence southwest along the centerline of Lawson Bay Road until it intersects with the Rappahannock River shoreline; thence west along the Lancaster County Rappahannock River shoreline to its intersection of the centerline of Mary Ball Road (VSH 3) and Lancaster County's Rappahannock River shoreline; thence southwest along the centerline of Mary Ball Road (VSH 3) over the Robert O. Norris Memorial Bridge (VSH 3) to the Lancaster County Rappahannock River water boundary thence southeast along the Lancaster County water boundary in the Rappahannock River to its intersection with the Accomack County water boundary in the Chesapeake Bay; thence northwest along the Lancaster County water boundary with the Accomack County water boundary to its intersection with the extended centerline of Dymer Creek; thence west along the centerline of Dymer Creek to its intersection with the centerline of the southern prong of Dymer Creek; thence west to its intersection with Mary Ball Road (VSH 3) which is the point of beginning.

B. Polling Place:

White Stone Volunteer Fire Department, 578 Chesapeake Drive, White Stone, Virginia 22578.

VOTE:	Cundiff Simmons	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	Donald O. Conway	Aye

CONSENSUS DOCKET

Motion was made by Mr. Jenkins to approve the Consensus Docket and recommendations as follows:

A. Minutes for January 30, 2003

Recommendation: Approve the minutes

VOTE:	Cundiff Simmons	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	Donald O. Conway	Aye

CONSIDERATION DOCKET

The Board considered the following items on its Consideration Docket:

1. Approval of February 2003 Salaries and Invoice Listings

Motion was made by Mr. Conway to approve the Salaries for February 2003 in the amount of \$123,761.87 and Invoice Listings for February 2003 in the amount of \$271,785.53.

VOTE:	Cundiff Simmons	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	Donald O. Conway	Aye

2. Windmill Point Resort and Yacht Harbor - Mr. Larson stated Windmill Point Properties L.L.C. has withdrawn as an applicant for rezoning and associated special exceptions on the subject property. The owners of Windmill Point Resort and Yacht Harbor, as well as the other applicants, Hampton 41 L.L.C. and CWW Development Inc. wish to continue with the applications.

Mr. Larson said on February 14, 2003 he met with Mr. Ammon Dunton, counsel for Mr. Ward and Mr. Rickard. The primary purpose was to bring Mr. Dunton up to date on the application. Mr. Larson made the point to Mr. Dunton and Mr. Rickard that in his judgment there were three main points that had to be addressed: 1) more detail as to the development concept for the property identified as Phases II and III; 2) satisfactory evidence that public access would continue at Windmill Point; and 3) satisfactory evidence that Phase I improvements would actually be made vice selling of Phase II and III property for medium density development without such improvement. Mr. Rickard is able to address these points at this meeting or describe how they will be addressed when the application is submitted for formal decision. It is the plan of the remaining applicants to be prepared to ask for a formal decision at the Board of Supervisors March meeting.

Mr. Larson stated he received a call from Mr. Don Caskie, Bay Design, concerning this application since his meeting with Mr. Dunton and Mr. Rickard. Remaining applicants advised him that the master plan and other documents reverted to them upon the withdrawal of Windmill Point Properties, L.L.C. When advised of this understanding, Mr. Caskie stated this was not the case and that Bay Design's arrangements had been with Windmill Point Properties, L.L.C. only. He advised Mr. Caskie that it was a matter between his firm, Windmill Point Properties L.L.C, and the remaining applicants, recognizing that a failure to resolve the issue will impact plans to ask for a formal decision in March.

Mr. Ron Rickard, President, Windmill Point Resort and Yacht Harbor, Inc. assured the Board of Supervisors they plan to go forward with this project. He stated he would be back to give a presentation to the Board of Supervisors at the regular March meeting and would address the board's concerns.

No Action Taken.

3. Suzanne Bowden – Waiver of Subdivision Requirement – Mr. Larson stated Suzanne Bowden, a property owner in Wharton Grove near Weems, is requesting an exception to requirements for an access way to a proposed subdivision of property identified as Tax Map #33-11. Ms. Bowden's property is in Voting District 5.

Mr. Larson said Paragraph 7-1 of the Subdivision Ordinance allows for exceptions to subdivision requirements where strict compliance would result in inhibiting the objectives of the Subdivision Ordinance. It further states that “the agent and the board of supervisors may vary, modify, or waive the requirements such that substantial justice and the public interest secured.” It is on the basis of the word “and” which has been underscored that this request is brought before the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Larson said Ms. Bowden's request is tantamount to a request for a private road in advance of submission of a subdivision application. She is proffering that she would create only three lots when present are requirements would allow her as many as six. She is further asking that the current width of 20' be acceptable when the minimum requirement in the ordinance under any circumstances is 25'.

Mr. Larson stated retention of the historical character of the area notwithstanding, there is no justification for this request. There is no substantial hardship such as adverse topography, and no offer to meet the minimum

requirements for a private road exception. Ms. Bowden can and should meet the requirements for subdivision roads in terms of width of right-of-way and improvement to VDOT standards should she decide to pursue her plans for subdivision. An article describing the historical significance of Wharton Grove was submitted to the Board of Supervisors per Ms. Bowden's request.

Mr. Simmons stated there was no hardship and Ms. Bowden should try to acquire the right-a-way from adjoining property owners.

Mr. Jimmy Carter stated that Wharton Grove is a historical site. There were currently ten houses using the existing lane. He explained Ms. Bowden's plans to subdivide and asked the board to consider this request.

Mr. Beauchamp stated the board does not want to set a precedent and if this request was approved it would.

Mr. Jenkins said this property is not officially listed a historical site.

Mr. Simmons suggested to the applicant that additional research should be done before bringing this matter back to the Board of Supervisors for consideration of a waiver.

No Action Taken.

4. Church Resource Services – Use of Pierce Building with the Chamber of Commerce's Workforce Enhancement Partnership Program – Mr. Pennell stated that Reverend Bill Sigler, Kilmarnock Baptist Church and President of Church Resource Services, asks the Board of Supervisors to permit Church Resource Services, Inc. to share space with the Lancaster County Chamber of Commerce at the Pierce building next door to the courthouse.

Mr. Pennell said Church Resource Services is already a partner in the Chamber of Commerce's Workforce Enhancement Partnership Program housed in the Pierce building. Church Resource Services has received a grant that will permit them to hire an executive director who will serve both CRS and keep the Chamber's activities going on a full-time basis.

Mr. Pennell stated he discussed this request with Edna Davenport, Director of Social Services, who advises that the CRS program is expected to save her office time and expense by pre-clearing needy persons without having to take the time of a social worker.

Mr. Ken Knull, an organizer of the Workforce Enhancement Partnership Program with the Chamber of Commerce, advises that his organization is in full support of sharing the Pierce House space with Church Resource Services.

Mr. Pennell said the county attorney advises that he know of no reason why Church Resource Services could not be permitted to share this space with the Chamber of Commerce.

Reverend Bill Sigler asked the Board of Supervisors to approve this request. There would be an Administrative Office staff available for 40 hour per week.

Mr. Conaway made a motion to approve the request made by Church Resource Services to Use space at the Pierce Building with the Chamber of Commerce's Workforce Enhancement Partnership Program.

VOTE:	Cundiff Simmons	Nay
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	Donald O. Conway	Aye

5. Changes to Zoning Ordinance/Subdivision Ordinance – Mr. Larson said the Board of Supervisors first considered these changes in April 2002. The changes have been forwarded by the Planning Commission recommending approval.

Mr. Larson stated cluster development would group residential structures. While most ordinances and writings on the subject would restrict cluster development to single family residences, he does not believe that is necessary. He sees no harm in also allowing townhomes and duplexes. While the density proposed for the three district A-1, A-2, and R-1 would exceed the density permitted in R-2, Residential, or R-3, Residential Medium, zoning districts, cluster development can in no way be viewed as high or even medium density development. The reason is that, unlike these two zoning districts or any Planned Unit Development, there is an extensive requirement for an open space set aside for cluster development. It is an alternative to the conventional checker board development of a parcel of land. The amount of open space to be set aside is certainly a debatable point but one that can be resolved. Cluster development would require a master plan for a cluster development proposal, with the same criteria, as would be required for R-2 designation.

Mr. Larson said it has been argued that increasing lot size requirements for new subdivisions and permitting cluster development is unnecessary at this time since there is little or no pressure for off-water development in Lancaster County. However, we have stated that open space protection is a major goal in our most recent update to the Comprehensive Plan. These proposed changes are the most effective way to accomplish that goal and should be in place prior to increased pressure for off-water development. Further, it has become obvious that lots of a size consistent with our existing minimum for R-1 or smaller are increasingly difficult to develop because of recent, stricter standards for septic drainfields and their separation from wells. Our proposal of a two-acre minimum, while more

than doubling the minimum size requirement, is still not unreasonable in view of requirements in other localities.

Mr. Simmons stated the changes address a number of issues and the Planning Commission has put in a lot of work on the zoning ordinance. He believes the board should act on this matter.

Mr. Jenkins said there are a lot of citizens who will be intimidated by this ordinance and it does put restriction on their property. He stated this may need to be done in stages to make citizens understand. He believe they should go to public hearing for input.

Mr. Conaway said it is unreasonable and it would be a hardship on property owners. Increasing the lots size would create a hardship. There would be too many restrictions for property owners to use their own property.

Mr. Jenkins made a motion to take Articles 3 and 4 to public hearing at the regular Board of Supervisors March meeting.

VOTE:	Cundiff Simmons	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	Donald O. Conway	Aye

Workforce Enhancement Partnership Program

Mr. Ken Knull said he wanted to give a report from the Workforce Enhancement Partnership Program, they have served 17 clients, 10 needed resume help, 10 registered with the Virginia Employment Commission, 8 looked at the job search board, and 3 were referred by Rappahannock Record ads. There will be a meeting on Monday, March 3, 2003 at Rappahannock Westminster-Canterbury with Dr. Randolph Latimore and will be

working with Mrs. Sandra Spears, Principal, Lancaster High School to develop some programs within the high school to prepare students to enter the workforce. He stated the Workforce Enhancement Partnership Program is progressing and thanked the board for their continued support.

BOARD REPORTS

Change to Noise Ordinance

Mr. Jenkins said it has been called to his attention by a constituent that the noise ordinance as currently stated talks about loud noise and even includes barking dog after 11:00 p.m. however there is no morning time when noises can reasonably be made.

Mr. Simmons stated his only concern with the proposed 6:00 a.m. time is the watermen ability to pursue their trade during the spring and summer months when they are out before the crack of dawn, along with farmers using machinery.

Mr. Jenkins made a motion to take the change to the Noise Ordinance to public hearing at the regular March Board of Supervisors meeting and asked the county administrator to prepare a draft ordinance for consideration.

VOTE:	Cundiff Simmons	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	Donald O. Conway	Aye

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Workforce Investment Board

Mr. Pennell stated Kenneth Knull has volunteered to be a nominee to the commonwealth's Workforce Investment Board and he would like the board's approval.

By consensus of the board Mr. Knull would be nominated to the Workforce Investment Board.

Building Code Academy

Mr. Pennell said he was selected to attend the Electrical Module of Virginia Building Code Academy in Fredericksburg to take an electrical inspection course March 17 – 19, 2003 at no cost to the county.

Airport Study

Mr. Pennell stated the Airport Study has been revised. Based on a meeting with state aviation board this would be contingent upon the Federal Aviation Administration getting involved. If this was a State program 80% would be paid by the state and 20% paid by the four counties and unfortunately neither the state nor the counties can afford that. If the Federal agency approves this project, it is 90% paid by the feds, 5% paid by the state and 5% paid by the four counties.

Mr. Pennell asked the board's permission to write a letter to the FAA stating that the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors would like this put into the federal program.

Mr. Jenkins made a motion to direct the County Administrator to write a letter to the FAA.

VOTE:	Cundiff Simmons	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	Donald O. Conway	Aye

Volunteers for County Boards and Commissions

Mr. Pennell said as a result of an advertisement we recently ran in the Rappahannock Record he received a number of responses from county citizens to volunteer for boards and commissions. He stated he would provide the board with a list of names.

Budget Work Session

Mr. Pennell stated a date needed to be set in order to start working on the budget.

By consensus of the board the Budget Work Session was scheduled for Tuesday, March 11, 2003 at 6:00 p.m.

NeckTech Presentation

Mr. Pennell said the Northern Neck-Chesapeake Bay Region Partnership and the Lancaster County Chamber of Commerce had the Virginia Electronic Commerce Technology Center (VECTEC) and the Virginia Center for Innovative Technology (CIT) make a presentation on how the internet and high speed telecommunications can benefit businesses. This presentation was a big success with 110 in attendance.

Mr. Pennell stated because Lancaster County's presentation was successful that Richmond, Northumberland and Westmoreland Counties will have VECTEC and CIT present on Thursday, April 17, 2003, however; all the details have not been finalized.

Alternative Board Meeting Dates

Mr. Pennell stated the Board of Supervisors should select alternate meeting dates in the event its regular monthly meeting date needs to be rescheduled as a result of

inclement weather, emergency or other unforeseen occurrence. The members of the Board of Supervisors asked Mr. Pennell to develop an alternate meeting date schedule for consideration at the next meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion was made by Mr. Simmons to adjourn the meeting until 6:00 p.m. on March 11, 2003 for a budget work session.

VOTE: Cundiff Simmons Aye
F. W. Jenkins Aye
B. Wally Beauchamp Aye
Donald O. Conaway Aye