

**VIRGINIA:**

A meeting of the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors was held in the courthouse of said county on Thursday, March 25, 2010.

- Members Present:     Jack S. Russell, Chair  
                              Ernest W. Palin, Jr., Vice Chair  
                              Peter N. Geilich, Board Member  
                              B. Wally Beauchamp, Board Member  
                              F.W. Jenkins, Jr., Board Member
- Staff Present:         William H. Pennell, Jr., County Administrator  
                              Jack D. Larson, Assistant County Administrator  
                              Don G. Gill, Planning and Land Use Director

Dr. Russell called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

**PUBLIC INPUT**

Jack Chamberlain, District 1 stated he has been riding the ferry since 1981 when they first discovered the Northern Neck and has been looking forward to the new ferry. It has been a long wait for the new ferry and they were a little disappointed because twice it has left him on the shore and carrying only two cars. He said he thought it was a four car ferry and knows it's a six passenger limit but the limit had not been met.

**PRESENTATIONS**

1. Resolution Commending Marvin McKerry Lee – Mr. Pennell said at the February 25, 2010 regular monthly meeting of the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors, the members asked him to prepare a resolution commending Marvin McKerry Lee for his 32½ years of service to the Virginia Department of Transportation as the operator of the Merry Point Ferry.

Mr. Jenkins made a motion to adopt the following resolution Commending Marvin McKerry Lee:

**WHEREAS**, there are many public servants who perform their duties quietly and with distinction for many years; and

**WHEREAS**, Marvin McKerry Lee was first employed by the Virginia Department of Transportation on October 1, 1976 as an Equipment Operator A; and

**WHEREAS**, Marvin McKerry Lee was promoted on November 1, 1977 to the position of Motor Boat Operator Ferry A, now known as Watercraft Operator I; and

**WHEREAS**, Marvin McKerry Lee will retire from public service on April 1, 2010 after 32½ years with the Virginia Department of Transportation; and

**WHEREAS**, Marvin McKerry Lee will be missed by the many citizens who look forward to his friendly disposition and thoughtful service as Captain of the Merry Point Ferry in Lancaster County, Virginia.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors hereby commends Marvin McKerry Lee for his exemplary service to Lancaster County's citizens and visitors using the Merry Point Ferry; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors warmly thanks Marvin for his service and hopes he will enjoy his retirement years.

VOTE:	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

2. Northern Neck Land Conservancy – Mr. Gill stated Joe Thompson, Field Director for the Northern Neck Land Conservancy (NNLC), will be making a presentation on the possibility of the County and the NNLC co-holding conservation easements.

Mr. Gill said the NNLC recently entered into cooperative agreements with Northumberland and Richmond counties to co-hold conservation easements and is now seeking a similar arrangement with Lancaster County. Conservation easements are a recognized way of preserving open space and farmland, which was a predominant theme expressed during the last update to the Comprehensive Plan. Conservation easements are legal documents which control the amount of development, if any, that will be allowed on a parcel of land in perpetuity. Traditionally, conservation easements have been held by organizations such as the Virginia Outdoors Foundation and The Nature Conservancy, but these large organizations will not usually accept easements on acreages less than 100 acres. This leaves a void in the system for those acreages less than 100 acres which may equally deserve preservation. The NNLC hopes to fill this void with cooperative agreements with neighboring counties.

Mr. Gill stated this is an informational presentation to clarify what would be expected of the NNLC and Lancaster County in this cooperative arrangement. Staff is researching whether this cooperative agreement should be by resolution (as done in Northumberland and Richmond counties) or by ordinance, but does not want to invest any further time and effort in this endeavor if it is not something the Board wishes the County to pursue. It is hoped that this

presentation will provide sufficient information for the Board to advise staff if this cooperative arrangement should be pursued.

Mr. Gill introduced Joe Thompson, Field Director for the Northern Neck Land Conservancy (NNLC) stated they are a private non-profit organization that is member supported and have over 500 members. The NNLC purpose is to work and help preserve the economic, historic, and natural resources of the Northern Neck through the use of conservation easements. He said conservation easements are a voluntary agreement between the land owner and an organization that can legally hold the conservation easements. The easement removes certain rights associated with that land, generally the development rights, permanently. The easements are in perpetuity and one of the few, maybe the only legal contract, which can be entered into that is in perpetuity. The purpose is to help preserve the conservation values of that particular piece of land. Each parcel of land has its own unique values; some could be historic, water quality, wild life habitat, agricultural, etc. The purpose this agreement that the NNLC is asking the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors to consider is to provide a fill-in for a gap that has existed for land owners that have smaller properties (less than 100 acres) within the county that may be interested in having those lands preserved. He said the agreement itself would provide an opportunity for a land owner to have an easement held both by the county and NNLC. The responsibilities would be the NNLC would take care all of the technical work, development of the easement, the interface with the land owner, and monitoring of that easement over time, which has to be done annually. The county responsibility would be when and/or if there would be a legal challenge to that easement, the county would provide the defense. In the history of conservation easement held by counties, there has never been a legal challenge. He does not believe there has ever been a successful challenge to a conservation easement in the Commonwealth of Virginia. That is primary due to the legislation that was created for the purpose of having conservation easements in Virginia. He stated the legislation is written very well, very strong and nationally recognized for that. Some of the benefits that a land

owner can receive associated with the conservation easement are tax credits from Virginia and federal tax deductions. Those tax credits are transferable, which means they can be sold so an individual can actually receive some cash value associated with easement they place.

Mr. Pennell stated he has spoken with Mr. Thompson and this will not affect county tax receipts. The county will continue to assess the value of the land and there is no special tax reduction to Lancaster County for conservation easements being placed on the land.

Mr. Thomas stated there is no additional cost to the county to develop the easements the NNLC absorbs all the cost.

Mr. Pennell said that staff was in the process of trying to determine the best way to handle this issue, whether the county should write a memorandum of understanding, resolution or an ordinance. He would like to have an ordinance for permanent record.

Mr. Jenkins said he believes the county should conduct a public hearing giving the public an opportunity to speak.

By consensus of the Board of Supervisors, move forward with this issue and conduct a public hearing.

## **VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Mr. Trapani stated they currently are using relief ferry operators, because Mr. Lee retired. The ferry will hold four cars and he will determine why it is leaving the dock without a full load. There are ten employees being rotated as fill-ins until a new captain is hired to operate the ferry.

## VDOT Six-Year Plan

Mr. Trapani said this would be both a work session and public hearing for the Six-Year Plan. The Code of Virginia requires that the Board of Supervisors in conjunction with the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) formulate a Six Year Secondary Improvement Plan and priority list for the Secondary Improvement Budget - Projected Fiscal Year Allocation for 2011-12 through 2015-16 and for the FY10-11 Budget.

The first priority is VSH 604/Merry Point Road, at the VSH 611 intersection, to improve alignment. The second priority is Rte 614/Devils Bottom Road to improve the alignment and replace the bridge. The unpaved priority #1 is Route 789/Hadlea Drive to reconstruct and surface treat a non-hard surface road.

Mr. Trapani said there is \$0 budgeted for incidental items on the plan. The Six-Year Plan fund is for improvements and new construction and does not include maintenance work.

Mr. Trapani recommends having a short work session and then hold the public hearing.

Mr. Trapani provided the Board of Supervisors with a copy of the Secondary System Construction Program Estimated Allocations worksheet which only has State dollars listed because federal dollars are not yet available. Based on the State funds, they do not foresee the financial situation to get any better and want to make recommendations on the VSH 640/Merry Point Road project and changing the scope of the project. Basically, VSH 640/Merry Point Road project is a total reconstruction, new alignment, a lot of right-of-way, whereas the real scope of the project is safety to improve the curve. He provided the Board of Supervisors with an aerial photo of the intersection, it's actually a "T" intersection and with some minor improvements rather than a full blown project. At the "T" intersection there could be a stop sign which would accomplish the same thing - fixing the curve.

Mr. Palin asked exactly where the stop sign would be placed because there is a blind spot.

Mr. Trapani said coming from Route 3 you would have to stop and turn right onto VSH611/Hoe Cake Road or left to continue on VSH 604/Merry Point Road. There would still need to be some minor construction because of the secondary connection of VSH 611. He stated there is \$1.3 million banked on the VSH 604/Merry Point Road project and if the scope of work is changed on this project it would cost \$150,000 - \$200,000. The VSH 604/Merry Point Road project funds could then be transferred to the Devil's Bottom bridge making bridge replacement a viable project.

Mr. Palin stated he had no objections to the suggestions made by Mr. Trapani, because his recommendation would take care of the safety issue at the VSH 604/Merry Point Road intersection.

Mr. Beauchamp asked what would be the cost of the VSH 604/Merry Point Road project once the scope of the work has changed.

Mr. Trapani stated the cost would be approximately \$150,000 - \$200,000.

Dr. Russell asked what the cost would be for the Route 614/Devils Bottom Road project.

Mr. Trapani stated that they do not have a firm estimate yet, however; the cost could be approximately \$3 million dollars to include purchase the right-of-way, engineering, and construction.

Mr. Trapani said the unpaved priority Route 789/Hadlea Drive is fully funded and the will be built this construction season. He stated VSH/777 was removed from the plan because they can not keep a project on the plan with zero dollars.

Mr. Jenkins said he understands but they work hard to get items placed on a priority list. But once they drop a project, how are the projects added back onto the plan.

Mr. Trapani said there would be no resources or funding to build that project this year. However, next year, the residual from VSH 789/Hadlea Drive and the other cost centers that would be zeroed out should be able to add VSH777 back to the plan next year.

Chairman Russell opened the public hearing.

Mr. Costello, District 2, he lives in the area of the two projects. He said on the VSH 604/Merry Point Road project he was glad to hear that VDOT will not be spending excessive funds in that area. He believes coming from the ferry turning onto Hoecake Road would be a better location for the stop sign, however placing a stop sign at the “T” intersection is a better solution. He said Mr. Palin has concerns about the safety issue at the bridge and understood its scenic value but safety comes first. He does not believe \$3 million needs to be spent on the Devil’s Bottom bridge. He asked the Board of Supervisors to kill this project.

Jack Chamberlain said he totally agrees with Mr. Costello on the VSH 604/Devils Bottom Road project.

Ernest Council stated he agreed with what was said by the two previous speakers.

Jo Chamberlain asked the Board of Supervisors not to forward with the project as it is proposed.

Reid Johnson said he would like to reinforce what others have said and do not wish to see Devils Bottom Road destroyed.



Mr. Trapani said the scope of the Devils Bottom Road project is to build/reconstruct a bridge only. The bridge is currently sufficient rate 47. The new bridge will be built to today's standards which means it will not be a one lane bridge. This request it only to proceed with fact-finding and engineering to replace the bridge. There will be substantial purchase of wetland migration to construct the project which put of the cost.

Mr. Jenkins said originally there was going to be widening of the road going down both sides which was scaled down to the bridge itself. He said he does not believe the bridge could be classified unsafe at this point, however, if it does become unsafe what would happen.

Mr. Trapani said they have to plan for the replacement of that structure because in number years, they could come a time when the road would have to be closed. They have to have a plan in place.

Mr. Beauchamp asked if the bridge was still safe.

Mr. Trapani stated the bridge is still safe as inspections are done each year.

Mr. Jenkins asked about the construction of the current bridge.

Mr. Trapani said it is a wooden structure with steel beams underneath with timber caps.

Mr. Palin stated as elected official for District 2 representing the majority of the people who voted him into office to speak on their behalf. He stated he was in favor VDOT's plan for Route 614/Devils Bottom Road project and said we should move forward. We need the bridge for safety and at some point and time it will become unsafe. We have the funds available now to start working on this project and he would

like to see the project begin. Again, he stated he represents the people in District 2. He would like to see this project move forward.

Chairman Russell closed the public hearing.

Mr. Jenkins asked if this project was scrapped would there be money available for any other projects.

Mr. Trapani said there is currently \$1.3 million and according to the Code of Virginia allows the opportunity for all citizens to travel the road and to close that road would require a public hearing. He is certain there is a large number of people who would want to keep the road open. They have to plan for replacing the structure.

Mr. Palin made a motion to adopt the following resolution to approve Secondary System of Highways Six-Year Plan (2011 - 2016):

**SECONDARY SYSTEM OF HIGHWAYS  
SIX-YEAR PLAN (2011 - 2016)**

**WHEREAS**, Sections 33.1-23 and 33.1-23.4 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, provides the opportunity for each county to work with the Virginia Department of Transportation in developing a Secondary Six-Year Road Plan; and

**WHEREAS**, the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors previously agreed to assist in the preparation of this Plan, in accordance with the Virginia Department of Transportation's policies and procedures, and participated in a public hearing on the proposed Plan (2011 through 2016) as well as the Construction Priority List (2011) on March 25, 2010 after being duly advertised

so that all citizens of the County had the opportunity to participate in said hearing and to make comments and recommendations concerning the proposed Plan and Priority List; and

**WHEREAS,** Sean P. Trapani, Residency Administrator, Virginia Department of Transportation, appeared before the board of supervisors and recommended approval of the Six-Year Plan and budget for Secondary Roads (2011 through 2016) and the Construction Priority List (2011) for Lancaster County.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED,** that since said Plan and budget appears to be in the best interest of the Secondary Road System in Lancaster County and of the citizens residing on the Secondary System, said Secondary Six-Year Plan (2011 through 2016) and Construction Priority List (2011) are hereby approved as presented at the public hearing.

VOTE:	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

Mr. Trapani stated they are finally at a point need to get the new courthouse project under permit. The permit requires assurance for the road work and Lancaster County already has an assurance resolution on file. He said the permit fees will be waived, however; the assurance need to be \$100,000 obligation to the resolution.

Mr. Jenkins made a motion to extend the Assurance Resolution to accommodate the New Judicial Center project.

VOTE:	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

#### Countywide Maintenance

Mr. Trapani stated they would begin working on repairing potholes and trying to put together a road-sweeping contract to get some of the sand swept up in the towns.

#### VSH 774/Granville Bay Road

Mr. Trapani said the VSH 774/Granville Bay Road survey is complete and plans are being put together. He said he hopes to have additional information available at the April Board of Supervisors meeting.

#### Kilmarnock Partnership Project

Dr. Russell asked about the status of the Kilmarnock Partnership Project.

Mr. Trapani said they have received the exception request and plans from the engineer were received last week. He has the package available to get the district administrator's approval for the exception to the excess to management standard. He stated he would be meeting with them on March 26, 2010.

## Moran Creek Road Sign

Mr. Beauchamp asked about the speed limit sign for Moran Creek Road.

Mr. Trapani said they would get the signs up.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

1. Albert C. Avery – Application for Special Exception (Individual Manufactured Home – Mr. Gill presented an Application for Special Exception by Albert C. Avery to place an individual manufactured home on a 1.27-acre parcel described as Tax Map #15-2E. This property is zoned R-1, Residential, General and is located off VSH 201 at 527 White Chapel Road in District 2.

Mr. Gill said Article 5-1-3 of the Zoning Ordinance requires a special exception for the placement of individual manufactured homes such as this (12 feet X 66 feet single wide). Previous, similar approvals by the Board of Supervisors have been based on whether any legitimate concerns could be raised by adjacent property owners.

Mr. Gill stated this property has an approved health department permit for an on-site septic and a well. All front, rear and side setbacks can be met. Similar types of manufactured homes exist in this neighborhood.

Mr. Gill said the applicant applied for and received approval for this same special exception in August 2007. He obtained the associated building permits in October 2007. Unfortunately, his wife took seriously ill and he could not do anything further at that time. The following 29 months of inactivity voided those approved permits. The applicant is now able to continue, but must reapply for approval.

Mr. Gill said this issue has been advertised and adjoining property owners notified as required by law. To date, there has been no input from adjoining property owners or other interested members of the public.

Chairman Russell opened the public hearing.

Mr. Avery said this special exception was approved some time ago and just wanted to ensure the Board of Supervisors that his stepson would be occupying the trailer.

Chairman Russell closed the public hearing.

Mr. Palin made a motion to approve the application for special exception by Albert C. Avery to place an individual manufactured home on a 1.27-acre parcel described as Tax Map #15-2E located off VSH 201 at 527 White Chapel Road.

VOTE:	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

- Ann T. Carter and Merrell New – Application for Special Exception (Individual Manufactured Home) – Mr. Gill presented an Application for Special Exception by Ann T. Carter and Merrell New to place an individual manufactured home on a 14.0-acre parcel described as Tax Map #16-41. This property is zoned R-1 Residential, General and is located off VSH 3 across from Pinckardsville Road (VSH 605) in District 2.

Mr. Gill stated Article 5-1-3 of the Zoning Ordinance requires a special exception for the placement of individual manufactured homes such as this (14 feet X 80 feet single wide). Previous similar approvals by the Board of Supervisors have been based on whether any legitimate concerns could be raised by adjacent property owners.

Mr. Gill said this property has an approved health department permit for an on-site septic and a well. All front, rear and side setbacks can be met.

Mr. Gill stated although the land is owned by Ann T. Carter and the individual manufactured home is owned by Merrell New, Ms. Carter has provided a properly executed document granting Mr. and Mrs. New “lifetime rights” to this 14.0-acre parcel (a copy of that document was provided to the Board of Supervisors for their review).

Mr. Gill said this issue has been advertised and adjoining property owners notified as required by law. To date, there have been two calls from adjoining property owners or other interested members of the public in favor of the special exception.

Chairman Russell opened the public hearing.

Hearing no comments, Chairman Russell closed the public hearing.

Mr. Palin made a motion to approve the application for special exception by Ann T. Carter and Merrell New to place an individual manufactured home on a 14.0-acre parcel described as Tax Map #16-41 located off VSH 3 across from Pinckardsville Road (VSH 605).

VOTE:	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye

Peter N. Geilich	Aye
B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

**CONSENSUS DOCKET**

Motion was made by Mr. Beauchamp to approve the Consensus Docket and recommendations as follows:

A. Minutes for February 25, 2010 and March 10, 2010

Recommendation: Approve minutes as submitted

B. Appointment to Middle Peninsula Juvenile Detention Commission

Recommendation: Reappoint William H. Pennell, Jr. for a four year term to expire June 30, 2014

C. Amend the Rappahannock Regional Criminal Justice Academy Charter

Recommendation: Adopt the following resolution:

**RAPPAHANNOCK REGIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE TRAINING  
ACADEMY**

**CHARTER AGREEMENT (AMENDED 2009)**

**RESOLVED** that the Charter Agreement for the Rappahannock Regional Criminal Justice Training Academy shall be amended, revised and updated by the adoption of the changes reflected in the document entitled, "CHARTER AGREEMENT FOR THE RAPPAHANNOCK REGIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE TRAINING ACADEMY, (AS AMENDED 2009)" attached hereto and incorporated herein.



VOTE:	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

**CONSIDERATION DOCKET**

The Board considered the following items on its Consideration Docket:

1. Approval of March 2010 Salaries and Invoice Listings

Motion was made by Mr. Beauchamp to approve the salaries for March 2010 in the amount of \$208,106.51 and Invoice Listings for March 2010 in the amount of \$755,697.59.

VOTE:	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

2. Sheriff’s Office – Septic Tank and Drainfield – Mr. Pennell said as work is being performed to construct the new judicial center, county staff has been exploring a solution to the long-term sewage disposal concerns at the Sheriff’s office and jail. There was a letter from Wiley and Wilson Architects describing exploratory work and recommendations by the agency’s civil engineer.

Mr. Pennell said the letter describes the recommended procedure to design and construct a new sewage treatment facility for the existing Sheriff’s office and jail. He stated he would provide the board with additional information to request funding of the actual construction of the new system as its costs are known.

Mr. Pennell said performing this work as change orders to the existing contracts with Wiley and Wilson and David Nice Builders, while the expertise and equipment are on site, will result in the saving of taxpayer funds to resolve these long standing sewage disposal problems at the jail.

Mr. Beauchamp made a motion to authorize the county administrator to proceed with Wiley and Wilson's design for a new sewage treatment strategy for the Sheriff's office and jail and appropriate \$5,800 for this purpose for funds received to construct the new judicial center.

VOTE:	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

3. Construction Contract – FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant – Mr. Pennell said following Tropical Depression Ernesto FEMA announced the availability of grant funding to elevate damaged homes out of the flood plain. Lancaster County submitted a proposal and was awarded funding to elevate seven houses. A requirement of the program is that a general contractor be retained. After advertising and accepting bids for these houses RiverView Contracting was selected to elevate the house located at 67 Muskreek. All bids were higher than the amount FEMA had allocated to this property. In order to make the project work the home owner has agreed to pay the difference. That amount is being put into an escrow account with the Treasurer and it will be released when the work is completed. RiverView Contracting was the lowest responsible bidder.

Mr. Pennell said the bids were as follows:

Name	Amount
B & B Custom Homes	\$133,989.00
Chesapeake Custom Builders	\$123,800.00
Edison Group	\$120,000.00
RiverView Contracting	\$ 97,300.00

Mr. Geilich made a motion to accept the bid from RiverView Contracting to elevate a home located at 67 Muskreek and after review by the County Attorney, authorize the County Administrator to execute the appropriate documentation.

VOTE:	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

4. Fourth Quarter, FY 2009-2010 Appropriation – Mr. Larson presented the fourth and final quarterly appropriation request for FY 2010. The total amount that would be appropriated with approval of this request, \$26,370,736, exceeds the amount originally budgeted by \$55,000. This represents the labor costs associated with the Judicial Center Resident Project Representative. Those costs are covered by funds identified for Judicial Center construction. He asked the Board of Supervisors for approval of the fourth quarter appropriation for County and School Board operations in the amount of \$6,578,771.

By consensus of the Board of Supervisors, they indicated this was one of the best budgets and stated Jack Larson; Assistant County Administrator/ Financial Officer did a great job.

Mr. Palin made a motion to approve the appropriation \$6,578,771 for fourth quarter (April 1, 2010 – June 30, 2010) FY 2009-2010 County and School Board operations.

VOTE:	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

### **BOARD REPORTS**

Mr. Beauchamp stated he was extremely pleased with the performance of Dale Cunningham, Resident Project Representative. The New Judicial Center is moving right along.

Dr. Russell said he would like mention the Lancaster Girls Basketball Team and commend them on an excellent season. They had a lot of support from the county as they traveled to play at the Richmond Siegel Center as he attended all the champion games. There had to be at least 250 – 300 county residents at the final games. They may have lost to George Mason but they are the second best in the state in their division and they a great job.

Mr. Beauchamp asked the county administrator to prepare a resolution and have the board recognize the Lancaster High School Girl Basketball Team at the April 29, 2010 regular Board of Supervisors meeting.

Dr. Russell stated he, Mr. Pennell, and Mr. Beauchamp met with Senators Webb and Warner staff and expressed their concerns about not getting any of the funds for a broadband grant. The Chesapeake Bay/Northern Neck Region Partnership decided to apply for the second round request for funds that could assist the county to move forward

with the broadband process. Because broadband is extremely important to our area and we will continue to request funding.

**COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR**

Mr. Larson stated he has provided the Board of Supervisors with their budget book with comments and/or explanations. The requests submitted seem reasonable and those requesting additional funding will be making a presentation at a budget work session. A date needs to be scheduled for April for a budget work session.

By consensus of the Board of Supervisors the budget work session will be scheduled for April 13, 2010 at 4:00 p.m. in the General District Courtroom.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Motion was made by Mr. Beauchamp to adjourn to April 13, 2010 at 4:00 p.m. in the General District Courtroom for a Budget Work Session.

VOTE:	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye