

**VIRGINIA:**

A meeting of the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors was held in the Administrative Building Board/Commission Meeting Room of said county on Thursday, April 25, 2013.

Members Present: F. W. Jenkins, Jr., Chair  
Jason D. Bellows, Vice Chair  
Ernest W. Palin, Jr., Board Member  
William R. Lee, Board Member  
B. Wally Beauchamp, Board Member

Staff Present: Frank A. Pleva, County Administrator  
Don G. Gill, Planning and Land Use Director  
Crystal Whay, Building/Land Use Secretary

Mr. Jenkins called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

**PUBLIC INPUT**

No public input.

**PRESENTATIONS**

1. Plaque of Appreciation for service for Weston Conley, Jr.

Mr. Jenkins stated that it is with regret that he has accepted Mr. Weston Conley, Jr.'s resignation from the Lancaster County Economic Development Authority. He stated that Mr. Conley is having some strenuous medical procedures and has asked to step down from his position. He asked Mr. Pleva to read the resolution concerning Mr. Conley.

**A Resolution Adopted by the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors  
Honoring Weston F. Conley, Jr.**

**Whereas**, Weston F. Conley, Jr. recently resigned from his position as Chairman of the Lancaster County Economic Development Authority, a position he has held since March 1997; and

**Whereas**, Mr. Conley has been a citizen of Lancaster County since he was one year old (with a short hiatus from 1952-1956) and has been active in the community life of the Village of Morattico. The Conley family were owners of the Morattico General Store from 1935-1953 and Mr. Conley was Chairman of RCV Seafood in Morattico for many years; and

**Whereas**, Mr. Conley's professional life was one of leadership in the many organizations in which he was involved, including: the Virginia Seafood Council, Shellfish Institute of North America, Virginia Marine Products Commission (of which he was a founding member), Board of Directors of the Bank of Lancaster, Board of Directors of Bay Banks (of which he was a founding member), Smith Seafood in Reedville, Rappahannock Seafood in Kilmarnock, and the YMCA in Lancaster County; and

**Whereas**, Mr. Conley has been active in civic organizations in Lancaster County, including: the Economic Development Authority, Northern Neck/Chesapeake Bay Region Partnership, Lancaster Sheriff's Advisory Committee, the Morattico Museum and the Upper Lancaster Ruritan Club where he recently received acclaim for 50 years of perfect attendance; and

**Whereas**, Mr. Conley has been a very active member of Emmanuel United Methodist Church in Morattico where he has served as Chairman of the Administrative Council

**Now therefore be it resolved**, that the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors offers its heartfelt thanks for Weston F. Conley, Jr.'s commitment to the citizens of Lancaster County; and

**Be it further resolved**, that the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors hopes that Mr. Conley's dedication to public service serves as an example to other citizens of Lancaster County to work for the improvement of our close-knit, friendly community.

Mr. Jenkins made a motion to adopt the resolution honoring Weston F. Conley, Jr.

VOTE:	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	Jason D. Bellows	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye

William R. Lee      Aye

B. Wally Beauchamp Aye

Mr. Jenkins stated that Mr. Conley's resignation leaves a vacancy on the Lancaster County Economic Development Authority and with the Board's indulgence, he would like to nominate William H. Pennell, Jr. to fill the at-large position. He stated that, not only does Mr. Pennell have a great deal of experience with the Economic Development Authority when he was the County Administrator, but he has also been the Executive Director of the Authority. He stated that the nomination was before the Board. There was no further discussion.

VOTE:                      F. W. Jenkins, Jr.      Aye

Jason D. Bellows      Aye

Ernest W. Palin, Jr.      Aye

William R. Lee      Aye

B. Wally Beauchamp Aye

### **VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Mr. David Brown, the VDOT Northern Neck Residency Administrator, stated that he would like to give some updates. He stated that mowing will begin on May 9<sup>th</sup> and that will also include litter pickup.

Mr. Brown stated that they are scheduled to begin work on Hadlea Drive (Route 789) on May 6<sup>th</sup>. He stated that most of the work had been completed on Riverview Drive (Route 777) and the final surface is ready to be installed.

Mr. Brown stated that there had been an inquiry from Mr. Beauchamp concerning the use of golf carts. He stated that he had forwarded information to Mr. Pleva about where and how golf carts can be used in the state of Virginia. He stated that basically in subdivisions where the speed limit is no more than 25 miles per hour, the golf carts are permissible. He stated that for the placement of golf cart signs, those areas would be reviewed by the County with the adoption of a resolution or a recommendation.

Mr. Beauchamp asked Mr. Brown if he knew about the cost of the golf cart signs and how many are required.

Mr. Brown replied that typically in a subdivision setting, the golf cart sign would be installed at the entrance.

Mr. Jenkins added that he thought that the signs were required at any access road coming into the designated area.

Mr. Brown agreed.

Mr. Beauchamp stated that the area he was asking about, for a citizen, is not a subdivision, but rather one stretch of road that was approximately three quarters of a mile, with one end being a dead end.

Mr. Brown stated that golf carts are also permitted to cross a roadway if it is posted at thirty-five miles per hour.

Mr. Beauchamp stated that the area of inquiry has a twenty-five mile per hour speed limit.

Mr. Brown stated that there had been another inquiry about Ocran Road (Route 646). He stated that they are considering an upcoming pavement schedule for this road.

## PUBLIC HEARING

### VDOT Secondary Six-Year Plan

Mr. Brown stated that according to the Code of Virginia, Sections 33.1-23.1 and 33.1-23.4, it requires that the County's Board of Supervisors and the Virginia Department of Transportation formulate a six-year secondary improvement plan and priority list for the secondary improvement budget. He stated that he had distributed the plan and the budget to the Board before the meeting for their review. He stated that today's public hearing is being conducted for the 2014-2019 plan. He stated that the plan is only for improvements and new construction. He stated that the plan does not include maintenance work, such as potholes, snow removal or road repairs.

Mr. Brown stated that all Board members have the current plan that was approved last year. He stated that following that is the proposed plan, which has the estimated allocations for the fees and the County would be receiving the identified telefees.

Mr. Brown stated that Mr. Jason Robinson from the programming group at the district office is present if the Board had any funding questions for him.

Mr. Brown stated that the Riverview Drive (Route 777) is mentioned in the plan and is currently being constructed to the department's rural rustic standards. He stated that that project was fully funded and is being undertaken under this fiscal year. He stated that Hadlea Drive (Route 789) was the next project that was identified by the Board as a priority and is also being constructed to the department's rural rustic standards.

Mr. Brown stated that the two roads that have been proposed to be added to the program are Squires Road (Route 676) and White's Lane (Route 619). He stated that these two roads have been discussed previously in the last couple of years, but there was no funding.

Mr. Brown stated that he would like to request a short work session to discuss the proposed plan before it is opened for public hearing.

Mr. Beauchamp stated that he would like to comment that he regretfully saw a lot of zeros in the six-year plan.

Mr. Brown stated that compared to last year, there are more dollar figures and stated that they are moving in the right direction.

Mr. Jenkins stated that if the Board was in consensus, they would go forward with the working document.

Mr. Jenkins opened the public hearing.

Mr. Charles Costello, a District 2 citizen, referred to Route 604 and asked about guardrails being installed where there is a 90 degree turn. He stated that stakes have been installed but that is not enough.

Mr. Jenkins asked Mr. Robert Harper if that would be covered under normal maintenance.

Mr. Harper replied yes.

There was no more public input.

Mr. Jenkins closed the public hearing.

Mr. Brown asked if the Board desired to approve the proposed plan.

Mr. Beauchamp made a motion to approve the Secondary Six Year Plan, FY 2014-2019.

VOTE:	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	Jason D. Bellows	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	William R. Lee	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

1. Application to Modify Conditions on an Approved Special Exception-The Family Maternity Center of the Northern Neck, Inc., owners; and Chesapeake Hospital Corporation, contract purchaser

Mr. Gill presented an Application by The Family Maternity Center of the Northern Neck, Inc., owner; and Chesapeake Hospital Corporation, contract purchaser, to modify conditions for hours of operation placed on the previously approved Special Exception for hospital use for the 2.0318-acre parcel described as Tax Map #17-49C and located at 11540 Mary Ball Road in District 2.

Mr. Gill stated that, last month, the Board of Supervisors approved this Special Exception request for hospital use under Article 5-1-13 for this parcel with the following conditions: 1) the last part of the definition of *hospital* that states “*and sanatoriums and institutions rendering care for mentally disabled patients and other types of therapy*” will not apply to this particular special exception given this parcel’s proximity to Lancaster Primary School, 2) the facility be strictly out-patient and 3) the normal hours of operation be between 7 a.m. and 7 p.m.

Mr. Gill stated that the hospital’s representative, Matt Terry, stated at last month’s meeting that he did not believe the hospital would have a problem with any of the conditions. He stated that since the meeting, Mr. Terry has learned that the hospital would like longer hours of operation, mentioning that he thought the closest dialysis center in Tappahannock was open from 5 a.m. to 12 midnight. He stated that Mr. Terry had suggested that they would like to be able to operate 24 hours a day, but has not provided any other documentation or examples to justify this request.

Mr. Gill stated that it was discussed at last month’s meeting and agreed by consensus that the hours of operation listed under the conditions were for “normal” operating hours and would not preclude “emergency” doctor visits outside those normal hours of operation.

Mr. Gill stated that the frequently mentioned dialysis center and other mentioned medical offices (ear, nose and throat, pediatrics, oncology, etc.) would certainly be beneficial to the County, but the Board must also consider the adjoining property owners in this predominantly agricultural and residential area when placing conditions on this permitted Special Exception use.

Mr. Gill stated that he had included in the Board member’s books, the names, addresses, phone numbers, days and hours of operation of the six dialysis centers within a

30-mile radius of Lancaster County and stated that the largest window of operation was from 5 a.m. to 9 p.m. at the DaVita Williamsburg Dialysis Center. He stated that the consumer information department of the DaVita Company, which is the second largest dialysis company in the United States, stated that their average size facilities, with average in Virginia being 16 stations or chairs, are open from 5 a.m. to 5 p.m. running two shifts and their larger facilities run three shifts and are open from 5 a.m. to 9 p.m.

Mr. Gill stated that given Williamsburg's higher population and urbanism, it is difficult to conceive why a larger window of operation would be needed in rural Lancaster County. He stated that the other mentioned medical offices (ear, nose and throat, pediatrics, oncology, etc.) hours of operation would have fallen within the previously approved conditions of 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. based on staff research of various similar offices in our region.

Mr. Gill stated that, as a result, staff believes that modifying the hours of operation condition to 5 a.m. to 9 p.m. would be an acceptable compromise which allows an additional 4 hours for medical offices requiring a longer workday while still being considerate of the residential and agricultural character of this area. He stated that that window of operation is equal to the largest window of operation found within 30 miles of Lancaster County at the aforementioned Williamsburg area facility and again, the 5 a.m. to 9 p.m. "normal" hours of operation would not preclude "emergency" doctor visits outside those normal hours of operation.

Mr. Gill stated that adjoining property owners had been notified and advertising conducted as required by law and that to date, other than the discussion at the prior Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors' meetings, and one prior response from an adjoining property owner, there had been no additional response from the public.

Mr. Gill stated that, he had been told by that adjoining property owner, that he did not have a problem with the operating hours.

Mr. Gill stated that Mr. Jim Holmes, Mr. Jimmy Carter and Mr. Matt Terry were present at the meeting if there were any questions for them.

Mr. Jenkins opened the public hearing.

Mr. Matt Terry, the hospital representative, stated that after speaking with Mr. Holmes and Mr. Carter, it was determined that limiting the hours of operation could have a serious effect on the use of the property. He stated that, at this time, there are many potential uses, such as extended hour urgent care, emergency services or disaster services. He stated that other potential uses include a dialysis center, a sleep disorder clinic, pediatrics, oncology and physical therapy. He stated that many of these treatments can start early and finish late.

Mr. Terry stated that medical science is evolving very rapidly and the need for medical care does not follow a time table. He stated that the hospital is concerned that the

limit on its operating hours would seriously detract from the building's usefulness. He further stated that the hospital wants to attract the necessary specialists, but limiting the hours may provide a hurdle for some medical services.

Mr. Beauchamp asked what hours did the hospital want for the building.

Mr. Terry replied that they wanted no limit on the hours.

Mr. Beauchamp asked Mr. Gill if an emergency situation would not fall under the normal operating hours.

Mr. Gill replied that that was correct.

Mr. Jenkins asked Mr. Holmes how long was a normal dialysis session.

Mr. Holmes replied that he was not sure, but a dialysis center usually has several shifts and they start early.

Mr. Terry stated that a working person with a 9 to 5 job would also have to start treatment very early.

Mr. Palin stated that he was uneasy about leaving the hours wide open and he was willing to extend the hours and they could come back if necessary.

Mr. Jenkins stated he was willing to expand the hours past the 9:00 p.m. time, but not as willing to allow a new patient, except under emergency conditions, to enter after 9:00 p.m. He stated that it is a residential area and that would limit the traffic after 9:00 p.m.

Mr. Jenkins asked about the mention of an oncology infusion center and referred to it needing to be on a septic system with health department approval.

Mr. Holmes stated that they would obtain the proper permits if that became the option for the building.

Mr. Costello asked what are the hours of the hospital clinics now.

Mr. Holmes replied that there is no dialysis center now and the emergency room is twenty-four hours a day and the oncology center runs from 7:00 a.m. to before 7:00 p.m.

Mr. Richard Pleasants, a District 1 citizen, asked if the building was being considered for an urgent care center.

Mr. Holmes replied that that is one of the possibilities.

Mr. Pleasants stated that there should be no restrictions on the hours, in his opinion.

Mr. Lee stated that he thought everyone should keep in mind that the building is in a residential area.

Mr. Terry stated that the building is on Route 3 and not in a subdivision and that there is already plenty of traffic in the area.

Mrs. Ella Davis, a District 2 citizen, stated that there are a lot of seniors in the area and it would be nice to have more health services, such as a cardiologist. She stated that so many people have to go to Richmond to get medical care.

Mr. Bellows asked Mr. Holmes if he thought it would be a hindrance in getting the medical services they desired.

Mr. Holmes replied that it depends on what ends up going there. He stated that as the need grows, they want to be able to draw services to the area.

Mr. Bellows stated that he thought the hospital was one of the greatest assets the community has and he thought the Board should try to accommodate this request.

Mr. Lloyd Hill, a District 4 citizen, stated that he knew some citizens, who attend his church, and they have to drive to Tappahannock and other places to get their dialysis treatments. He stated that a dialysis center would be a tremendous asset to the people of this county and surrounding counties.

Mr. Jenkins stated that he agreed with Mr. Hill, but at this time, it is uncertain whether or not it will be a dialysis center. He stated that setting the hours at twenty-four hours a day may bring something that may be deemed inappropriate in the area.

Mr. Bellows stated that he thought that was settled at last month's meeting when the other conditions were set.

Mr. Jenkins stated that Mr. Gill had done a very good job of surveying all of the nearby dialysis centers' hours and the hours being proposed of 5:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. expand past those center's hours.

Mr. Hill stated that, as a rule, people do not get sick on a time table.

Mr. Jenkins stated that he understood that and there will still be emergency hours if need be.

Mr. George Bott, a District 1 citizen, stated that his wife is a dialysis nurse and a dialysis center uses a tremendous amount of water and that is probably why the centers are located where they can use city or town sewer systems. He stated that he did not think

a dialysis center could go at the site unless they are using some special septic system or recycling.

Mr. Jenkins closed the public hearing.

Mr. Palin made a motion to modify the hours of operation condition for the Maternity Center property from the previously approved hours of 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. to the hours of 5:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. with the understanding that patients can continue their treatment, if in the facility before 9:00 p.m. He further stated that the Board does not want to hinder the business and if the hospital finds that they need to extend the hours in the future, they can come back to the Board.

VOTE:	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	Jason D. Bellows	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	William R. Lee	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye

**CONSENSUS DOCKET**

Motion was made by Mr. Beauchamp to approve the Consensus Docket and recommendations as follows:

A. Minutes for March 28, 2013

Recommendation: Approve minutes as submitted

VOTE:	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	Jason D. Bellows	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	William R. Lee	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye

## **CONSIDERATION DOCKET**

The Board considered the following items on its Consideration Docket:

### 1. Approval of April 2013 Salaries and Invoice Listings

The motion was made by Mr. Palin to approve the salaries for April 2013 in the amount of \$235,704.84 and invoice listings for April 2013 in the amount of \$492,564.48.

VOTE:	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	Jason D. Bellows	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	William R. Lee	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye

### 2. Internet Pole Special Exception Study

Mr. Gill stated that at its November 29, 2012 meeting, the Board of Supervisors directed the Planning Commission to review and make recommendations regarding our regulation of the internet data poles/antennae that have become commonplace throughout the Northern Neck to help broaden the availability of high speed internet access. He stated that these poles are usually between 80-100 feet in total height and usually combine a 50-60 feet telephone pole with a 20-30 feet "straight stick" antennae on top. He stated that there is usually a 2-3 mile radius around these poles where adjoining residents can get higher speed internet if they choose to subscribe with the internet provider who erected the pole.

Mr. Gill stated that our zoning ordinance allows these poles by right in three zoning districts: C-1, Commercial, C-2 Commercial Limited and M-1 Industrial Limited. He stated that our zoning ordinance requires a special exception for poles of any height in the W-1 Waterfront Residential Overlay district and for poles exceeding 35 feet in height in the other six zoning districts: A-1 Agricultural Limited, A-2 Agricultural General, R-1 Residential General, R-3 Residential Medium General, R-4 Residential Community and RV-1 Rural Village Overlay districts. He stated that the special exception process involves a public hearing and a fee and that that fee was \$400 until December 2010, when the Board reduced the fee for this particular special

exception to \$200, which basically covers the cost of the required advertising that must be done for the public hearing. He stated that the Board did not want the fee to be so burdensome that it discouraged the poles, but wanted it to cover the expense of the required advertising.

Mr. Gill stated that since November 2007, there have been 21 requests for these poles with 1 request being withdrawn prior to going to public hearing, while the other 20 have been approved with virtually no objection.

Mr. Gill stated that he had contacted the counties of Northumberland, Richmond, Westmoreland, Mathews and Middlesex counties to determine how these poles were handled in other counties. He stated that Northumberland used to require a special exception permit for the internet poles. He stated that they did not have a specific listing in their zoning ordinance, so they put them under Public Utilities, just as Lancaster does. He stated that in 2007, Northumberland modified their language to allow by right in all districts, the installation of single-pole, high-speed internet antennas less than 100 feet in total height and require a conditional use permit (\$150) for the poles exceeding 100 feet or constructed with multiple supports.

Mr. Gill stated that Mathews County has a 120 feet height limit for by-right placement, but requires zoning (\$25) and electrical (\$25) permits and requires a conditional use permit (\$200) if exceeding 120 feet.

Mr. Gill stated that Westmoreland County requires a special exception permit (\$600) for all poles and has a 125 feet height limit.

Mr. Gill stated that Middlesex County requires a special exception permit (\$300) for all poles and has no set height limit.

Mr. Gill stated that Richmond County, according to the Assistant County Administrator, does not require anything at the current time.

Mr. Gill stated that the Planning Commission considered several possible options that included 1) Leave as is. The \$200 fee covers the advertising costs and the public hearing allows adjoining property owners to object, if they choose, to the 100 foot pole going in beside them. The Internet Service Provider will recoup the \$200 from potential additional subscribers 2) Make this a “no-fee” special exception similar to our single-wide individual manufactured home special exception. This allows adjoining property owners to object, if they choose, to the 100 foot pole going in beside them, but does not burden the applicant with a fee. The County would still pay the advertising costs and 3) Allow by-right similar to Northumberland. Adjoining property owners would not have the opportunity to voice objection to the 100 foot pole going in beside them. He stated that after discussing this matter at two meetings, the Planning Commission has recommended that our current procedure is the most fair of all the options considered and should not be changed.

Mr. Jenkins stated that the ability to provide high speed internet access is critical in many ways and since his time on the Board, no data pole has been turned down. He stated that they should be able to come up with appropriate setbacks for the poles and his recommendation is for staff to come up with an ordinance amendment for this issue and discuss at a future meeting.

Mr. Bellows asked if the homeowner or the internet company pays the special exception fee.

Mr. Gill replied that it could be either one.

Mr. Lee asked if any of the internet companies have been discouraged because of the current policy.

Mr. Jenkins replied that he had heard from some of his constituents that the cost of the special exception is steep.

Mr. Beauchamp asked if the fee just covered the cost of advertising the public hearing.

Mr. Jenkins replied yes.

Mr. Bellows suggested eliminating or lowering the special exception fee.

Mr. Jenkins stated that he did not feel it was appropriate to take other taxpayer's money to offset the cost of the fees. He stated that his recommendation is to come up with a much tighter ordinance concerning the placement of the internet poles and make it "by-right".

All members were in consensus with Mr. Jenkins.

## **BOARD REPORTS**

Mr. Jenkins stated that the Board needed to set the date, time and place for a work session for the entire FY 14 budget. It was decided that the next work session would be Wednesday, May 8<sup>th</sup> at 4:30 p.m.

## **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR**

Nothing to report.

**CLOSED MEETING**

Motion was made by Mr. Beauchamp to enter into closed meeting to discuss matters exempt from the open meeting requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act. The subject matter to be discussed in the closed meeting is Real Property, § 2.2-3711.A.3 and Personnel Matters, § 2.2-3711.A.1 of the Code of Virginia, *1950 as amended*. The purpose of the closed meeting is to discuss the acquisition of real property. The subject and purpose falls within the following exemption under § 2.2-3711.A.3 (acquisition of real property for public purpose OR the disposition of government owned property where public discussion would jeopardize the County’s or Town’s bargaining or negotiating position) and § 2.2-3711.A.1 (candidates for employment OR the assignment, appointment, promotion, performance, demotion, discipline, salaries, compensation, resignation of employees.)

VOTE:            F. W. Jenkins, Jr.     Aye  
  
                      Jason D. Bellows     Aye  
  
                      Ernest W. Palin, Jr.   Aye  
  
                      William R. Lee        Aye  
  
                      B. Wally Beauchamp Aye

**RECONVENE**

Motion was made by Mr. Beauchamp to reconvene the open meeting.

VOTE:            F. W. Jenkins, Jr.     Aye  
  
                      Jason D. Bellows     Aye  
  
                      Ernest W. Palin, Jr.   Aye  
  
                      William R. Lee        Aye  
  
                      B. Wally Beauchamp Aye

**CERTIFICATION**

**WHEREAS**, the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors convened in a closed meeting on April 25, 2013 pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote on the motion to close the meeting to discuss Real Property, § 2.2-3711.A.3 and Personnel Matters, § 2.2-3711.A.1 of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act;

**WHEREAS**, § 2.2-3712 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the board of supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (1) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Virginia Freedom of Information Act were heard, discussed or considered in the closed meeting to which this certification applies and (2) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion by which the closed meeting was convened were heard, discussed or considered in the meeting to which this certification applies.

Motion was made by Mr. Bellows to certify the closed meeting.

Before a vote is taken on this resolution, is there any member who believes that there was a departure from the requirements of number 1 and number 2 above? If so, identify yourself and state the substance of the matter and why in your judgment it was a departure. There was no comment.

Hearing no further comment, Mr. Jenkins called the question. A roll call was taken:

**ROLL CALL**

VOTE:	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	Jason D. Bellows	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	William R. Lee	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye

This certification resolution is adopted.

No action taken on the closed meeting matters.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Motion was made by Mr. Bellows to adjourn.

VOTE:	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	Jason D. Bellows	Aye

Ernest W. Palin, Jr. Aye

William R. Lee Aye

B. Wally Beauchamp Aye