

VIRGINIA:

A meeting of the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors was held in the courthouse of said county on Thursday, September 25, 2008.

Members Present: Peter N. Geilich, Chair
Jack S. Russell, Vice Chair
B. Wally Beauchamp, Board Member
F.W. Jenkins, Jr., Board Member
Staff Present: William H. Pennell, Jr., County Administrator
Jack D. Larson, Assistant County Administrator
Don G. Gill, Planning and Land Use Director

Mr. Geilich called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

PUBLIC INPUT

None

PRESENTATIONS

None

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Balderson said Mr. Quintin Elliott, the new District Administrator, was unable to come to this meeting because of a prior obligation.

Maintenance Update

Mr. Balderson stated the mowing on the secondary system should be completed in approximately five days and the primary will then be cut for the last time this year.

Mr. Balderson said the paving operation has begun in Kilmarnock.

New Ferry Update

Mr. Balderson said they are expecting November for a delivery date and there is a contract currently out to revamp the ferry landing to match the new ferry.

VSH 711/Old Airport Road

Mr. Balderson stated VSH 711/Old Airport Road now has a 35 mph speed limit posted from VSH 354/River Road to the end of state maintenance.

VSH 3 Bridge Work

Mr. Jenkins asked about the status of the VSH 3 bridge work (the second bridge between Lively and Lancaster Courthouse). He asked why the people in Kilmarnock will get their roads paved and but the people of upper Lancaster can not get the same consideration. He stated we are now back into the grain season and last year a farmer had to replace an axle on his trailer because there is a failure of the highway system to provide a safe road. He stated this problem was created by VDOT and been on the list for repair for years.

Mr. Balderson said this is not just patching, it need to be milled about ten inches.

Mr. Pennell asked when Lancaster County could expect the contractor to perform the bridge work.

Mr. Balderson stated after they complete the work in Kilmarnock.

Mr. Jenkins asked why would they go to Kilmarnock first where there was never a hazard there. People who could damage their equipment should take priority over the routine road work. Who was responsible for making that decision?

Mr. Pennell stated he would contact Mr. Elliott in order to attempt to resolve this matter.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Proposed Public Roadway Abandonment – Mr. Gill stated he received a request to abandon 100.17 feet of public roadway at the end of VSH 721 (Railway Road) in Irvington in District 5.

Mr. Gill said Kenmaur, LLC, the owner of Tax Map #33-269A, through its agent, Glenn Cockrell, has requested this proposed abandonment and location of a new turnaround as depicted on the attached plat. Railway Road (VSH 721) comes to a dead end at Kenmaur's property with no public turn around. An unauthorized turnaround currently being used is beyond the end of state maintenance sign and totally on Kenmaur's property, as evidenced by the attached real estate tax map. The other properties affected by this request, Tax Map #33-268 and #33-269, have their driveway accesses to Railway Road (VSH 721) prior to the location of the proposed turn around and would not be adversely affected.

Mr. Gill said an easement for a turnaround exists on the adjacent parcel, Tax Map #33-268. If the Kenmaur property is developed, thus discontinuing the use of the unauthorized turnaround, usage of the adjacent parcel's easement for a turnaround would be easier and safer with the proposed road abandonment and new end of state maintenance, as vehicles would not have to negotiate that extra 100.17 feet of road and the curve while backing up to utilize the turn around.

Mr. Gill stated adjoining property owners have been notified and advertising conducted as required by law. To date, two adjoining property owners and two nearby property owners have voiced opposition to this request while one nearby had no objection to the request.

Mr. Gill stated he received a fax from Glenn Cockrell late this afternoon requesting that this public hearing be continued and the vote be tabled until the next regular Board of Supervisors meeting scheduled for October 30, 2008, because the property owners had become aware of the opposition to the request by adjacent property owners and they wish to have the opportunity to resolve the matter in a way that is agreeable to all parties involved. He said he also received a letter from Kenneth Smith for Virginia Department of Transportation who expressed that VDOT has no opposition to the abandonment the road but questioned the notification to the Commonwealth Transportation Board through the Residency Administrator as opposed to a letter directly to the Commonwealth Transportation Board. Continuing the public hearing and tabling the vote would alleviate any concerns of Mr. Smith.

Chairman Geilich opened the public hearing.

Matt Terry, legal representative for Ms. Brown who purchased her property from the same predecessor in title who conveyed to Kenmaur, LLC, the applicant for the abandonment. When Ms. Brown purchased the property she sunk her life saving into this property and renovated her home. He stated Mrs. Brown's home is located on a hill and Mr. Asch's is on the other side, also on the hill and access to the lower section can only be had across this state road. To eliminate the section of road requested for abandonment would cut off access to emergency services vehicles. The highway department is currently in charge of cleaning the drainage. He read the VDOT statement for road abandonment. Both, Ms. Brown and Mr. Asch depend on that portion of the proposed road abandonment to get to the lower part of their property. This abandonment is ill considered and not in the best interest of the public. They are in opposition to this request.

Dr. Russell asked, "Who would own the land?"

Mr. Terry stated after reviewing some of the land records, the land that was conveyed to the applicant stopping at the end of the road. The land that was conveyed to Ms. Brown and property owned by Mr. Asch both adjoin the road. He stated he would have to do more research.

Mr. Balderson said in May or June of 1954 the road was brought into the state system with a fee simple right-of-way for \$1.00 and is not a proscriptive easement - meaning it belongs to the Commonwealth.

Mr. Jenkins asked who deeded the property for \$1.00. He said the Board of Supervisors generally approves it if it goes back to the original owner or parcel from which it came.

Mr. Terry stated there is a significant drainage issue and the culvert gets clogged and in the hands of VDOT the road can be properly maintained. But under a private owner, what would happen?

Michael Merrill lives at 954 King Carter Drive which is approximately two blocks from the property in question. He stated he has on a number of occasions seen residents and guests stroll down King Carter Drive onto Railway Road. He stated he often walks his dog, bicycles, or

just simply strolls to the end for a view of the water. He provided the Board of Supervisors with photos. There is no public reason to approve this request and he asked the board to deny the request.

Chris Aaron, live at 1017 King Carter Drive, which is directly across the street from Railway Road. He stated he was not notified. He said he sits on his porch and watches people from the Tides ride there bikes down. He stated the drainage ditch is often full and who would be responsible for the maintenance. He said this is one of the few places you can see the harbor and is used by the public and he does not understand why the applicant is requesting abandonment of a section of the roadway.

Stephens Butts stated he has a weekend cottage at 62 Sailboat Cove Drive which is not adjacent to Railway Road, however; it's adjacent to Mr. Faulk and Mr. Asch's property. He does access his backyard through the Ash's property with their permission. If this road is abandoned he would have to get permission from the new owner of the property. If the problem stems from turn around traffic, he would suggest placing a chain across the entrance. Having public access down Railway Road is beneficial and asked the Board of Supervisors to deny the request.

Robert Hartesy, Town of Irvington Manager and Zoning Administrator stated there is a fifteen inch culvert at the bottom of the hill and there is a drainage issue. If the road is abandoned that would mean property owners would be required to maintain the drainage.

Chairman Geilich closed the public hearing.

Mr. Beauchamp stated he had an opportunity to visit the site earlier and according to all the comments made here at this meeting, they are absolutely correct. If the concern is the turnaround traffic on the lower property, the solution would be to put up a chain.

Mr. Beauchamp made a motion to table the proposal to abandon 100.17 feet of public roadway at the end of VSH 721 (Railway Road) in Irvington to the October 30, 2008 regular monthly meeting.

VOTE:	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

2. Application for Special Exception – Charles M. Penrod – Mr. Gill presented an application for Special Exception by Charles M. Penrod to place an 80 foot data antenna/pole for wireless Internet access on a 5.128 acre parcel described as Tax Map #35-122E. This property is zoned R-1, Residential General and is located at 115 Pine Trail off VSH 645 (Dungeon's Thicket Road) in District 3.

Mr. Gill stated Article 5-1-123 of the Lancaster County Land Development Code allows the placement of data antennas with a special exception. This is a continuation of requests for special exception consideration to locate data antennae at various locations within the county to provide wireless broadband Internet access in areas lacking that capability.

Mr. Gill said there no zoning issues with the location of this antenna/pole. The proposed location is outside the 100' Resource Protection Area (RPA) and adjacent to Trail's End Subdivision with the potential to serve many homes.

Mr. Gill stated this request has been advertised and adjoining property owners notified as required by law. To date, staff has received one call from the public with no opposition.

Mr. Gill said Tom Fowlkes of Northern Neck Wireless Internet Services, LLC, the Internet service provider supplying this antenna/pole, did express his concern at the Special Exception fee that increased July 1st from \$100 to \$400 and inquired as to the possibility of lowering the Special Exception fee for these antenna/poles.

Mr. Beauchamp stated he spoke with a citizen with concerns about the fee increase and requested that staff review the fees.

Mr. Pennell stated staff has reviewed this special exception fee increase and could bring a recommendation to Board of Supervisors if there is consensus.

By consensus of the Board of Supervisors, staff will review and make a recommendation. Chairman Geilich opened the public hearing.

Tom Fowlkes, Northern Neck Wireless Internet Services, LLC talked about the fees in comparison to surrounding counties. He said one pole can serve as many of forty to fifty people.

Chairman Geilich closed the public hearing.

Mr. Geilich made a motion to Approve the Application for Special Exception by Charles M. Penrod to place an 80 foot data antenna/pole for wireless Internet access on a 5.128 acre parcel described as Tax Map #35-122E. This property is zoned R-1, Residential General and is located at 115 Pine Trail off VSH 645 (Dungeon's Thicket Road).

VOTE:	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

3. Application for Special Exception – John Neck Land Trust #1 c/o William E. Westbrook, Jr. – Mr. Gill presented an application for Special Exception by John Neck Land Trust #1 c/o William E. Westbrook, Jr. to operate a commercial marina on a 1.03-acre parcel described as Tax Map #33-194. This property is zoned M-1, Industrial Limited and is located at the end of VSH 632 (Johns Neck Road) in District 5.

Mr. Gill said Article 9-1-14 of the Lancaster County Land Development Code allows a commercial marina as a permitted use with a Special Exception within the M-1, Industrial, Limited District. As evidenced by the attached e-mail, Mr. Westbrook wishes to operate a small marina with seven seasonal slips with no transients. He also states that there will be no boat work performed on the property, no haul out of boats and no launching of small boats. He will, however, need to utilize a pump and haul sewage disposal strategy given the size and soil limitations of this parcel. A recent past Board approval for a non-related pump and haul request required the posting of a \$1,000 bond to ensure satisfactory operation, as well as three-year renewal periods. Similar requirements would seem appropriate.

Mr. Gill stated as evidenced by the documentation provided to the Board of Supervisors, the applicant has already received the proper authorization from the Lancaster County Wetlands Board, Virginia Marine Resources Commission and the Army Corps of Engineers to install the riprap revetment and extend the existing pier including the relocation of an existing building from an adjacent pier (on property also owned by the applicant) that will be removed. In addition, the Department of Environmental Quality has determined that a DEQ permit will not be required for this project.

Mr. Gill said the preliminary site plan provided indicates that the on-land redevelopment will comply with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance. The final Bay Act Site Plan to be submitted if the Special Exception, if granted, will address any BMPs required for buffer encroachment mitigation.

Mr. Gill stated staff views this request as reasonable and appropriate. The parcel is already zoned M-1, Industrial, Limited and is bounded on either side by other M-1 properties. It is located across Carters Creek from Irvington Marina and has navigable water with sufficient depth. The renovation and rejuvenation of an old commercial seafood site is also desirable and well documented in the Comprehensive Plan.

Mr. Gill said this request has been advertised and adjoining property owners notified as required by law. To date, staff has received no input from the public.

Chairman Geilich opened the public hearing.

Mr. Westbrook said he purchased the property from Gerald Abbott who was a life-long waterman and this is his effort to preserve the building.

Mr. Ajootian stated he has been interested in public access for a long time. He asked if this would be for public or private use.

Mr. Westbrook said this is not public access, it is for those who lease a slip and there is no boat launch facility.

Mr. Costello asked if houseboats would be allowed at the marina.

Mr. Westbrook stated whatever is legal in the county.

Chairman Geilich closed the public hearing.

Mr. Beauchamp made a motion to approve the application for Special Exception by John Neck Land Trust #1 c/o William E. Westbrook, Jr. to operate a commercial marina on a 1.03-acre parcel described as Tax Map #33-194. This property is zoned M-1, Industrial Limited and is located at the end of VSH 632 (Johns Neck Road) contingent upon the posting of a \$1,000 bond to ensure satisfactory operation of the pump and haul sewage disposal system, with three-year renewal periods.

VOTE:	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

4. Application for Change of Zoning District Classification – White Stone Church of the Nazarene – Mr. Gill presented an Application for Change of Zoning District Classification from C-2, Commercial, Limited to C-1, Commercial by White Stone Church of the Nazarene for a 22.541-acre parcel described as Tax Map #34-401A. This property is located at 57 Whisk Drive off VSH 3 (Mary Ball Road) near White Stone, Virginia in District 4.

Mr. Gill said the purpose for this rezoning request is to correct an improper designation applied at the time the church was built in 2004 and does not indicate any additions or changes to the use of the property. The White Stone Church of the Nazarene has proffered that the property will be “used as a church with church related activities”. The improper designation involves the fact that churches are **not** listed as a permitted use in the current C-2 district. Furthermore, the White Stone Church of the Nazarene's 21,000 + square foot building far exceeds the 4,000 square foot maximum for a building in the current C-2 district.

Mr. Gill stated staff views the conditional C-1 zoning as reasonable. The property is located in an area with many other commercial properties. It is adjacent to several C-2 properties, and there are other C-2 as well as two C-1 properties in the immediate vicinity. The church use is a permitted use in the C-1 District. (As a matter of fact, churches are listed twice under permitted uses in C-1, but not at all in C-2.)

Mr. Gill said adjoining property owners have been notified and advertising conducted as required by law. There have been two requests for additional information from the public.

Chairman Geilich opened the public hearing.

Hearing none, Chairman Geilich closed the public hearing.

Dr. Russell made a motion to Approve the Application for Change of Zoning District Classification from C-2, Commercial, Limited to C-1, Commercial by White Stone Church of the Nazarene for a 22.541-acre parcel described as Tax Map #34-401A. This property is located at 57 Whisk Drive off VSH 3 (Mary Ball Road) near White Stone as proffered.

VOTE:	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

By consensus of the Board of Supervisors, they requested that the Planning Director draft an amendment for C-2 to include churches to be presented at the Planning Commission's next meeting, October 16, 2008.

5. Ordinance to Assume the Power to Consider Petitions for the Creation of Community Development Authorities – Mr. Pennell stated since Lancaster County first adopted an ordinance to create the Hills Quarter Community Development Authority in 1998, Virginia state law has changed to require a one-time public hearing and an ordinance to consider future petitions for community development authorities.

Chairman Geilich opened the public hearing.

Mr. Ajootian asked what is a Community Development Authority (CDA).

Mr. Pennell stated it is very similar to the Industrial Development Authority which is used for industrial development. Community Development Authorities focus on residential proposes and allows individual who want do that type of development to have access to tax free borrowing for infrastructure such as gutters, roads, water, wastewater treatment, those types of items. It creates a special taxing district that the people who live or will move into that district will be responsible for paying that money back as a separate tax surcharge, but it is only applicable to those individuals. It is required to give these future property owners full disclosure up front of the additional taxes before they buy. It's a method by which developers can have access to cheaper financing to build the infrastructure need for housing developments.

Mr. Westbrook asked it this applied to raw land going to be developed or an area already developed.

Mr. Pennell said it could apply to both with the consent of the people already living there, but it cannot be forced on anyone.

Mr. Costello but the petition can be asked for by majority of the landowners (51%) what would have to the other 49%. Would they be subject to taxation?

Dr. Russell stated this applies to new development and individuals will know up front about the taxation.

Bob Sowder explained how CDAs have worked in other areas of the state.

Doug Trittpoe, District 2 said the way he understand there is no difference between this ordinance and the hearing many months ago about county-wide water and sewer district. In essence, this puts the county in the sewage business.

Mr. Geilich stated that neither the Board of Supervisors nor the county taxpayers are obligated to any debt incurred by a CDA. It is only the individuals within that geographic area.

Mr. Trittpoe asked who will collect the sewage fees.

Mr. Pennell stated the developer will collect the fees and the county is not involved in that process.

Mr. Trittpoe stated he does not have any objections as long as the county does not get involved in the process.

Mr. Jenkins said the reason that the Commonwealth passed this legislation to give this authority to localities was encourage private development - not public. It gives an option to private owners to take care of their own needs. The monthly usage fee is collected by the entity/developer and also empowered to levy a tax which is collected yearly and will be used to pay down the original note which funded the infrastructure which put the wastewater treatment there. That tax is what comes through the county and the county gets a fee off of the tax collected.

Chairman Geilich closed the public hearing.

Mr. Geilich made a motion to adopt the following ordinance to Assume the Power to Consider Petitions for the Creation of Community Development Authorities:

**CONSIDER PETITIONS FOR THE CREATION OF
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITIES**

WHEREAS, the Virginia Water and Waste Authorities Act (§15.2-5100 et seq. of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended) was amended in 2005 to require any county that wishes to consider petitions for the creation of community development authorities to elect to do so by ordinance and to hold a public hearing on the ordinance; and

WHEREAS, having has a duly advertised public hearing on this subject, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Lancaster, Virginia (the "County"), wishes to assume the power to consider such petitions.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF LANCASTER, VIRGINIA:

1. The County elects to assume the power to consider petitions for the creation of community development authorities in accordance with the provisions of §15.2-5152 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.
2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect on and after its passage.

ROLL CALL

VOTE:	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

CONSENSUS DOCKET

Motion was made by Mr. Jenkins to approve the Consensus Docket and recommendations as follows:

A. Minutes for August 28, 2008

Recommendation: Approve minutes as presented.

B. VACO Annual Conference – Voting Credentials

Recommendation: Designate Dr. Russell as the principal Lancaster County voting delegate for the 2008 VACo Conference, Mr. Palin as the alternate voting delegate and the County Administrator as a proxy in the event of the absence of the two delegates.

VOTE:	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

CONSIDERATION DOCKET

The Board considered the following items on its Consideration Docket:

1. Approval of September 2008 Salaries and Invoice Listings

Motion was made by Mr. Jenkins to approve the Salaries for September 2008 in the amount of \$204,650.20 and Invoice Listings for September 2008 in the amount of \$621,483.03.

VOTE:	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

2. Second Quarter, FY 2008-2009 Appropriation – Mr. Larson presented the Board of Supervisors with Second Quarter FY 2008-09 Appropriations. He asked the Board of Supervisors’ approval of a second quarter appropriation for County and School Board operations.

Mr. Larson stated to date, \$5,262,372 has been expended in FY 2009, an amount within the \$6,668,180 appropriated by the Board of Supervisors for first quarter operations. Expenditure of funds is consistent with the approved budget. The few anomalies that do exist relate to paying yearly amounts for such requirements as insurance premiums or motor vehicles and not excessive or unplanned spending. Appropriation of additional funds for the second quarter, over and above the normal one fourth of the annual appropriation will address these anomalies. Appropriations for affected cost centers are bold faced and further explained as follows:

- 1) Treasurer—Provide the Board of Supervisors with a memorandum identifying a need for additional funds in the second quarter that will be offset in the third and fourth quarters;
- 2) Circuit Court—Provides for payment of a large annual fee in the first quarter;
- 3) Sheriff—Provides for the purchase of police cars in the first and second quarters;
- 4) Animal Control—Provides for the bulk purchase of annual supplies that was made in the first quarter;
- 5) General Properties—Provides for the full annual payments for motor vehicle insurance and general liability insurance that were made in the first quarter.

Mr. Jenkins stated he has concerns about the total impact of the school payroll. What is the monthly payroll?

Mr. Larson said it is approximately \$650,000 per month which would equate to \$1.3 million.

Mr. Jenkins said in the first quarter appropriation the school was missing two of the three months of the full salaries of teachers.

Mr. Geilich said he has concerns about the general economic and what's coming down from the state.

Dr. Russell stated he would like to see the mid-year review and put departments on notice.

Mr. Beauchamp made a motion to approve the Appropriation of \$6,762,339 for second quarter (October 1, 2008-December 31, 2008) FY 2008-2009 County and School Board operations.

VOTE:	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Nay

3. Extension of Final Plat Filing – Reserve at Lake Chase (Phase One) – Mr. Gill presented a request for an extension of the date to file the Final Plat for Phase One of the Reserve at Lake Chase Subdivision at the old Chase Farm on VSH 3 (Mary Ball Road) in District 4.

Mr. Gill said the Board of Supervisors previously granted preliminary and final plat approval of the 43-lot Phase One on 4/26/07 contingent upon VDOT approval of the entrance and the posting of an appropriate bond to ensure satisfactory completion of the private interior roads. An acceptable bond estimate has been provided and VDOT approval was granted on 3/18/08. Section 6-5 of the Subdivision Ordinance allows, with Board of Supervisor approval, a one-time six-month extension to file the final plat if requested in writing within the six months following the official notification of the fulfillment of the preliminary plat contingencies. As evidenced by the attached letter, the applicant has submitted such a written request which would extend the filing of this final plat from 9/18/08 to 3/18/09.

Dr. Russell made a motion to approve an extension of the date for six month approval of the Final Plat for Phase One of the Reserve at Lake Chase Subdivision at the old Chase Farm on VSH 3 (Mary Ball Road).

VOTE:	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye

BOARD REPORTS

Keep America Beautiful Affiliation

Mr. Beauchamp said after addressing the litter problem in Lancaster County for years he was introduced to Frank Miller who expressed a desire to assist in this endeavor.

Frank Miller stated Keep America Beautiful (KAB) was started by Lady Bird Johnson to figure out why people litter and what could be done about it. People tend not to litter where they don't see litter, so by cleaning up litter, we reduce the litter. This program provides an assessment of your community, what your litter situation is and information that can be use for publicity, education, etc. After reading a number of letters to the editor from Larry Shubnell in the Rappahannock Record he thought Mr. Shubnell would be the ideal candidate to be the coordinator for Lancaster County.

Mr. Geilich asked if this is a state program and what is the cost to the county.

Larry Shubnell said he would like to be KAB Coordinator for Lancaster County. This is not a state program. In order to get the program up and running there is an application process and letter of endorsement needed. The initial cost would be \$1,725.00 (ongoing annual fee of \$100) and \$5,000 – 6,000 per year to keep the program running. He believes if the county fund one-third they can probable

get two-thirds from private and civic sector. There will be a six to nine citizen committee and they need to know they have the Board of Supervisors support.

Mr. Jenkins stated he has concerns what other type of “unsightly” does this organization target. He said an organization such as this sometimes takes on a life of its own. It sets up its own judgment as to what the quality of a community should be or what the aesthetic values are and when a community or county funds and endorses it takes on the appearance of speaking for the county when it may not speak for all residents in the county. As for what constitutes an unsightly job site, what is graffiti verses art, or what should a farm look like - is a slightly falling down vine covered old barn a rustic piece of charm of a rural community or an eyesore to a neighbor? This is why he stated he has concerns about the program.

Mr. Shubnell stated he has not had the time to get into detail with KAB to date. This is a non-profit organization and the committee will provide periodic reports to the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Pennell said he believes the “do not litter signs” are the worst litter possible, as people throw trash at the signs. The timing for this program is perfect and they can submit a request for appropriation during the budget process for the next fiscal year.

Mr. Beauchamp made a motion to authorize to Mr. Shubnell to move forward and organize a committee and that the county appropriate \$2,000 of which \$1,725 one-time membership fee plus \$100 annual fee.

VOTE:	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Abstain

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

None

ADJOURNMENT

Motion was made by Mr. Jenkins to adjourn to the meeting.

VOTE:	Peter N. Geilich	Aye
	Jack S. Russell	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye
	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye