

VIRGINIA:

A meeting of the Lancaster County Board of Supervisors was held in the Administrative Building Board/Commission Meeting Room of said county on Thursday, May 30, 2013.

Members Present: F. W. Jenkins, Jr., Chair

 Jason D. Bellows, Vice Chair

 Ernest W. Palin, Jr., Board Member

 William R. Lee, Board Member

 B. Wally Beauchamp, Board Member

Staff Present: Frank A. Pleva, County Administrator

 Don G. Gill, Planning and Land Use Director

 Crystal Whay, Building/Land Use Secretary

Mr. Jenkins called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

PUBLIC INPUT

Mr. Richard Pleasants, a District 1 citizen, stated that he had collected some data over the last two months related to school districts that he wanted to share with the Board. He stated that for every penny that is added to the County's tax rate, it means approximately \$300,000 of additional revenue. He stated that under the estimated tax rate, which will probably be 50 cents, after the Board makes their decision, the effective change will be about \$30 annually to the average home owner's tax bill.

Mr. Pleasants referred to schoolteacher vacancies and stated that some of them are due to the budget and some are due to the fact that there is a problem with retention because they are not competitively paid. He stated that he had found that the County's schoolteacher pay is in the bottom fifteen percent in total compensation for the state. He stated that the average class size is in the top ten percent for the state and the average condition of the school buildings is fair to poor.

Mr. Pleasants stated that the average income from salaries and investments for second homeowners is in excess of \$75,000 a year, which is way above the average median income for a family in this county. He stated that paying an additional fifty to one

hundred dollars a year for these homeowners would not negatively impact them in any measurable way. He further stated that he did not mind paying the taxes and he has a home on the water as well. He stated that the equalized tax rate would be about fifty cents on the hundred and he thought that it needed to be increased by two to three cents to improve county services, not just the school system. He stated that the increase could help pull the school system out of the hole that they are currently in. He further stated that this would help give the teachers a two percent raise and catch them up and also help to retain current teachers.

Mr. Pleasants stated that economic development starts with attracting higher paying wage employers and they will never be attracted to this area without a better educated workforce. He stated that a better educated workforce requires investment and education is an investment, not an expense.

Mr. Pleasants stated that he had heard that a member of the Board of Supervisors had slandered a public person in the school system in the last six months. He stated that he thought it was unprofessional and didn't appreciate it.

Mr. Jenkins stated that if Mr. Pleasants had something to say about a member of the Board of Supervisors, then he should make the statement and not use innuendos.

Mr. Pleasants stated that he was speaking of Mr. Bellows.

Mr. Pleasants stated that he thought the issue was that some of the Board members think that too much money is spent on administration in the school system. He stated that he thought it was hypocritical for a member of the Board to say he did not want more administrative costs, when he had applied for an administrative position. He stated that he cared about the school system and that was the only reason he was at the meeting.

Mr. Jenkins stated that he appreciated Mr. Pleasants taking his personal time to go through the analysis of the tax rates and to find out statistics, but the real effect of the tax rate change is that the additional tax would come from the lesser affluent, inland property owners.

Mr. Lloyd Hill, a District 4 citizen, stated that education is a costly endeavor, but ignorance is much more expensive. He stated that it is much better to educate than to incarcerate. He stated that his hope is that everyone looks to education as being an investment as opposed to always looking at it as a cost. He stated that the issue is either you pay now or pay later and an educated population makes for a better community.

PRESENTATIONS

None.

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Mr. Robert Harper stated that Hadlea Drive (Route 789) and Riverview Road (Route 777) are hard surface roads now.

Mr. Harper stated that his department has completed the primary road mowing and are currently working on the secondary road mowing and it should be completed by mid June.

Mr. Harper stated that, on Memorial Day weekend, they began a new pilot program on the Merry Point Ferry. He stated that the new hours for the ferry were Monday through Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. and Saturday and Sunday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. He stated that those hours would remain in effect through Labor Day weekend. He stated that they would review the numbers at the end of the season to determine if it is worthwhile to continue the program for next year.

Mr. Harper stated that he had been in contact with the secondary surface treatment contractor and they will be working in the area for about three weeks this summer.

Mr. Bellows stated that he had received some complaints from constituents about a deep ditch that had been created at the beginning of Ocran Road.

Mr. Harper stated that the department had not deepened the ditch, but rather just mowed the area. He asked Mr. Bellows if he could get with him later to get a name and number, so that he could make contact with the citizen.

Mr. Bellows replied yes.

Mr. Jenkins stated that he had a general question. He asked what the standard distance was for the two signs that indicate an upcoming route.

Mr. Harper replied that it is based on the posted speed limit.

PUBLIC HEARING

1. Update to the Comprehensive Plan – Chapter Six

Mr. Gill stated that the current Comprehensive Plan was adopted by the Board of Supervisors on September 27, 2007. He stated that the Code of Virginia Section 15.2-2230 requires that the Planning Commission review the Comprehensive Plan at least once every five years. He stated that, as in previous reviews, chapters will be tweaked and sent to public hearing individually prior to being forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for approval.

Mr. Gill stated that the Planning Commission reviewed Chapter Six of the Comprehensive Plan at its October 2012 and March 2013 meetings and held a public hearing at its April 2013 meeting. He stated that all of the changes requested at the prior Planning Commission meetings have been made and were highlighted on the draft that the Board had received. He stated that advertising had been conducted as required by law and to date, other than the discussion at prior Planning Commission meetings, there had been no response from the public.

Mr. Gill stated that the Planning Commission has forwarded the revised Chapter Six to the Board of Supervisors recommending approval.

Mr. Jenkins opened the public hearing.

Mr. Charlie Costello, a District 2 citizen, stated that he had attended all of the Planning Commission meetings and he thought Chapter Six was a good document and should be approved.

There was no more public input.

Mr. Jenkins closed the public hearing.

Mr. Jenkins made a motion to approve Chapter Six of the Comprehensive Plan as amended.

VOTE:	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	Jason D. Bellows	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	William R. Lee	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye

2. Application for Special Exception (Individual Manufactured Home) – Maxine Laws

Mr. Gill presented an application for Special Exception by Maxine Laws to place an individual manufactured home on a 0.750-acre parcel described as Tax Map #24-15A. He stated that this property is zoned R-1, Residential General and is located at 10547 Jesse DuPont Memorial Highway (VSH 200) in District 4.

Mr. Gill stated that Ms. Laws' individual manufactured home (28' X 64' double wide) meets all of the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance Article 5-1-3 for "by right" placement EXCEPT "a roof pitch of 3.25:12 or greater" and therefore requires a special exception. He stated that the roof pitch, as stated by the applicant and verified with Oakwood Homes, is only 2.41:12. He further stated that previous similar approvals by the Board of Supervisors have been based on whether any legitimate concerns could be raised by adjacent property owners.

Mr. Gill stated that the property has an existing on site septic system and well and that all front, rear and side setbacks can be met. He stated that the proposed home will replace and be sited in the same footprint of the previous home that was destroyed by fire.

Mr. Gill stated that the issue has been advertised and adjoining property owners notified as required by law and that to date, there has been no input from the public.

Mr. Jenkins opened the public hearing.

There was no public input.

Mr. Jenkins closed the public hearing.

Mr. Lee made a motion to approve the Application for Special Exception by Maxine Laws to place an individual manufactured home on a 0.750-acre parcel described as Tax Map #24-15A.

VOTE:	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	Jason D. Bellows	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	William R. Lee	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye

3. Application for Special Exception (Individual Manufactured Home) – Otis and Lindora Yerby

Mr. Gill presented an application for a Special Exception by Otis and Lindora Yerby to place an individual manufactured home on a 0.500-acre parcel described as Tax Map #27-198E. He stated that this property is zoned R-1, Residential General and is located near the intersection of Greentown Road (VSH 664) and Levering Lane in District 4.

Mr. Gill stated that the Yerby's individual manufactured home (28' X 52' double wide) meets all of the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance Article 5-1-3 for "by right" placement EXCEPT "a roof pitch of 3.25:12 or greater" and therefore requires a special exception. He stated that the roof pitch, as stated by the applicant and verified with Clayton Homes, is only 2:12. He stated that previous similar approvals by the Board of Supervisors have been based on whether any legitimate concerns could be raised by adjacent property owners.

Mr. Gill stated that the property has an approved health department permit HDID #151-00-424 for an on site septic system and an existing well, however will most likely hook up to the Greentown/Gaskins Road Wastewater Treatment Facility once that service is available. He stated that all front, rear and side setbacks can be met and that the proposed home will replace and be sited in the same general footprint of the existing home that has been damaged by fire.

Mr. Gill stated that the issue has been advertised and adjoining property owners notified as required by law and that to date, there has been only one response from an adjoining property owner, who stated that they were pleased that the Yerbys were getting a new home, but at the same time, would like to see a steeper roof pitch.

Mr. Jenkins opened the public hearing.

There was no public input.

Mr. Jenkins closed the public hearing.

Mr. Lee made a motion to approve the Application for Special Exception by Otis and Lindora Yerby to place an individual manufactured home on a 0.500-acre parcel described as Tax Map #27-198E.

VOTE:	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	Jason D. Bellows	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	William R. Lee	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye

4. Application for Special Exception – Dennis Matt

Mr. Gill presented an application for Special Exception by Dennis Matt (owner) trading as King Corrotoman Oysters, LLC to operate a year round oyster aquaculture business on a 5.85-acre parcel described as Tax Map #26J-1-20. He stated that this property is zoned R-1, Residential General and is located at 162 Tide Lane off Yankee Point Road (VSH 730) in District 1.

Mr. Gill stated that the requested use is a prime example of modern day aquaculture. He stated that the applicant is converting an oyster gardening permit (VMRC #12-0017) into a riparian oyster lease for commercial use. He stated that the operation will consist of 20 floating cages and 50 to 100 bottom cages adjacent to his existing pier at the mouth of the Western Branch of the Corrotoman River. He stated that when the oysters are market size, they will be harvested and carted inland where they will be washed, graded, bagged and tagged and kept under enclosed refrigeration until delivered to market. He further stated that this size operation will only require the use of the applicant's truck to deliver the oysters to market and he hopes to manage and sell 100,000 oysters per year.

Mr. Gill stated that the requested use involves "grading, bagging, tagging and selling" oysters year round. He stated that due to the commercial, year round nature of this business, he advised the applicant to seek the special exception under Article 5-1-9 which states, "*Areas of basic seafood processing facilities, with a special exception,*" so there would be no question as to the legality of this business in a residential zoning district.

Mr. Gill stated that the request has been advertised and adjoining property owners notified as required by law and that to date, there have been three responses from the public. He stated that two responses were in support and one person wanted additional information.

Mr. Jenkins opened the public hearing.

Mr. Anker Madsen, a District 1 citizen, stated that he thought the proposed operation would be bigger than what is supposed to be in a residential area. He stated that he would like to know if it is a company making application or an individual. He also asked about the overlay district.

Mr. Gill stated that the application was advertised as Mr. Matt being the owner.

Mr. Matt replied that it was just him as the sole owner of his LLC.

Mr. Jenkins asked Mr. Gill to explain the difference between what is being done on the parcel at the present time and what is being proposed.

Mr. Gill replied that the oyster gardening permit allows 160 square feet and the Taylor floats are two feet by three feet, which is 6 square feet, so he would be allowed to have 26 floating cages and he has 22 at the present time, so he is legal at the moment.

Mr. Gill stated that the overlay district does not apply to any R-1 properties that were created prior to May 11, 1988.

Mr. Charlie Costello, a District 2 citizen, stated that aquaculture is a good thing and oysters help the Chesapeake Bay, but he has a fundamental problem with commercial businesses in the R-1 District. He stated that the R-1 District is a low-density area zoned for homes. He stated that people depend upon the ordinances to know what is going to be in that particular area. He stated that he did not think a commercial business would fit into the R-1 District and he thought it would be setting a precedent. He further stated that Mr. Matt's business may grow and his plans of what to do with his business could change as well.

Mr. Jenkins asked if Dr. Matt's use of an LLC precludes him from the provision of a cottage industry.

Mr. Gill replied no and that the Board has approved prior special exceptions with the same scenario.

Mr. Gill stated that he would like to clarify Mr. Costello's comments on the statement of intent for the R-1 District and stated that it also says, "to permit certain commercial uses of a character unlikely to develop general concentration of traffic, crowds or customers and general outdoor advertising."

Mr. Bellows stated that the spirit of the oyster businesses is very similar to the culture of the watermen in the area. He stated that the watermen would use their homes and property to bring a product to shore before taking it to market.

Mr. Lee asked about similar applications that have been in front of the Board.

Mr. Jenkins stated that the difference between the current application and the Sledd application from last month was that Sledd was proposing his operation at a former seafood processing site.

Mr. Gill stated that the Matt application was the fourth application that had been in front of the Board. He stated that approximately a year ago, the Board approved an application for Michael Bouis on Fleets Bay Road and that was not a former seafood processing site.

Mr. Beauchamp asked the number of the applicant's floating cages.

Mr. Gill replied that Dr. Matt has twenty-two floating cages. He further stated that Dr. Matt has revised his floating cages to range from thirty to forty and reduced his bottom cages to range from twenty-five to fifty.

Mr. Jenkins stated that the Board's jurisdiction starts with the applicant's necessity to bring the oysters ashore. He stated that Dr. Matt has an application pending from VMRC to lease oyster shore off from his property. He stated that once the application is approved, he could put as many cages out as he wanted and that is part of the fear being expressed from other citizens. He stated that he thought that Dr. Matt's intentions were good, but the special exception goes with the property, so the Board has to be careful in their decision.

Mr. Joel Dugan, a District 1 citizen, stated that he lived about a half mile from Dr. Matt. He stated that Dr. Matt has been very forthcoming with his proposed plans. He stated that he was very much in favor of oyster growing, but he is concerned about Dr. Matt running a commercial operation. He stated that it seems out of character with the neighborhood and it may affect property values.

Mr. George Bott, a District 1 citizen, stated that as property owners, they rely on the zoning when they purchase their homes. He stated that when the zoning changes, they do not always know what the outcomes might be. He stated that he was an oyster grower himself, but he has concerns about Dr. Matt's operation in a residential area. He stated that he thought a LLC doing business in that neighborhood would be out of character.

Mr. Jenkins stated that a LLC, in his opinion, did not give any indication of the size of an operation. He stated that Dr. Matt could be a consultant operating out of his home, with just a computer and be considered a LLC.

Mrs. Jan Fadeley, a District 2 citizen, stated that she liked the protection that the R-1 Zoning District offered and stated that she would like to see the application turned down to protect the property owners.

Mr. Richard Pleasants stated that Milton Conrad has a similar business at his home and asked if his property was zoned R-1.

Mr. Jenkins replied yes.

Mr. Pleasants stated that he supported Dr. Matt's application.

Dr. Matt stated that he was sorry that some citizens had concerns about his intentions. He stated that he loved oysters and oyster growing and it is his mission to become an oyster gardener. He stated that it would be a small time operation and that he had applied to VMRC to lease a half-acre of riparian land to grow oysters. He stated that he was the sole proprietor and has no intention of expanding. He stated that he is the owner and manager of his LLC and he applied for the LLC because of possible liability issues.

Mr. Beauchamp asked how often would Dr. Matt be producing oysters.

Dr. Matt replied that he was proposing to harvest from October through April. He stated that his eventual plan would be to harvest twice a week, possibly Tuesdays and Thursdays and haul the oysters to where he would be selling them.

Mr. Jenkins stated that Dr. Matt had suggested having thirty to forty floating cages and twenty-five to fifty bottom cages and asked if limiting the number of cages significantly affected his planned enterprise.

Dr. Matt replied that he thought they should think of it in terms of square footage. He stated that he is proposing approximately 850 square feet between the floating and bottom cages. He further stated that he would be open to compromise.

Mr. Jenkins asked Dr. Matt if he could live with 600 square feet.

Dr. Matt replied yes.

Mr. Jenkins asked Dr. Matt if he would market, at best, two times a week.

Dr. Matt replied yes, but he would consider it “harvest.”

Mr. Jenkins stated that the reason he was using the term “market” was because he was trying to get a feel for any additional traffic that may happen in a residential area.

Mr. Jenkins asked if the oyster processing would be contained to existing buildings.

Dr. Matt replied that he was not planning on building any new structures.

Mr. Walter Fadeley, a District 2 citizen, asked if a special exception was in perpetuity or did it go with the property owner.

Mr. Jenkins replied that the special exception would go with the land and the property owner cannot change the conditions that are set forth by the Board.

Mr. Karsten Konerding, a Henrico citizen, stated that he had recently purchased a parcel, within a half-mile of Dr. Matt’s property, with the intention of eventually retiring there. He stated that he had two concerns about the application. He asked if the runoff was going into the river or a septic system. He asked about any noise that would be generated. He further stated that he was also concerned about a precedent being established in the R-1 District.

Mr. Jenkins asked Mr. Gill if the water treatment would fall under the purview of the health department.

Mr. Gill replied yes. He stated that the Department of Shellfish Sanitation would also have to approve Dr. Matt’s application.

Dr. Matt stated that he would like to address the noise question. He stated that the hoists that would pick up the cages are hand-rolled and make a clicking sound. He stated that he would be using power washers to rinse the cages and because oysters improve the water, anything coming off the cages would not be detrimental to the environment, to his knowledge. He stated that he would like to add that his neighbors are in total support of his plan.

Mr. Jenkins closed the public hearing.

Mr. Beauchamp stated that he believed in oyster gardening and did it himself. He stated that he did have concerns about the potential of traffic in a R-1 District. He stated that the Board had approved a number of aquaculture applications, but those operations seemed more secluded or on the site of a former seafood processing facility. He asked about a time limit being placed on the operations.

Mr. Jenkins replied that all the Board could do was restrict with conditions.

Mr. Lee asked if the approved Fleets Bay application was in the R-1 District.

Mr. Gill replied yes.

Mr. Palin stated that he would not have a problem with the application if there were some stipulations placed on the special exception.

Mr. Jenkins called a five-minute recess to consult with the Land Use Administrator.

Mr. Jenkins reconvened the meeting.

Mr. Jenkins made a motion to approve the Application for Special Exception by Dennis Matt trading as King Corrotoman Oysters, LLC to operate a year round oyster aquaculture business on a 5.85-acre parcel described as Tax Map #26J-1-20 with the following conditions: the enterprise and commercial activity on the property will solely be restricted to the harvesting and preparing of oysters for shipment, that activity will be limited to 600 square feet of aquaculture equipment, with respect to vehicle activity, there will be only two shipments per week, the operating hours will be limited to the hours between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m. and any sorting, cleaning and bagging of the oysters must be done in the existing buildings on the property

VOTE:	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	Jason D. Bellows	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	William R. Lee	Aye

B. Wally Beauchamp Aye

CONSENSUS DOCKET

Mr. Palin stated that he had a correction on the minutes for April 17, 2013. He stated that on the last sentence of page 8, the word should read increase and not decrease.

Motion was made by Mr. Beauchamp to approve the Consensus Docket and recommendations as follows:

A. Minutes for April 17, April 25 and May 8, 2013

Recommendation: Approve minutes as corrected.

VOTE: F. W. Jenkins, Jr. Aye
 Jason D. Bellows Aye
 Ernest W. Palin, Jr. Aye
 William R. Lee Aye
 B. Wally Beauchamp Aye

CONSIDERATION DOCKET

The Board considered the following items on its Consideration Docket:

1. Approval of May 2013 Salaries and Invoice Listings

The motion was made by Mr. Palin to approve the salaries for May 2013 in the amount of \$233,580.93 and invoice listings for May 2013 in the amount of \$648,627.53*.

*Indoor Plumbing - \$133,853.00
*Comprehensive Services - \$100,980.47

VOTE: F. W. Jenkins, Jr. Aye

Jason D. Bellows	Aye
Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
William R. Lee	Aye
B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye

2. FY 2014 Lancaster County Public Schools Budget

Mr. Palin stated that he would like for Ms. Sue Salg, Director of Finance for Lancaster County Public Schools to address some questions with the Board's permission.

Ms. Salg referred to unspent funds and stated that she would like to explain more details on those funds. She stated that she understood a review of the school's current financial reports indicated that there appeared to be approximately \$940,000 that had not yet been spent. She stated that they spend throughout the year, but they do hold back on spending some because they wait to see what their March 31 totals look like for their state revenue basis and also, in case something unexpected happens. She further stated that only salaries and invoices would be paid in the month of June.

Mr. Jenkins stated that they were speaking of a big chunk of money and there needed to be more specifics.

Ms. Salg stated that a big portion of the monies relates to grants. She stated that grants are not funded by the County's taxpayers and for the most part are federal grants. She stated that grant awards have a September 30 year end and the school system's year end is June 30, so they will never spend all of their instructional grant funds by June 30 in any year.

Ms. Salg stated that in the paper and on the audited financial statements for fiscal year 2012, there was an unspent instructional figure of \$515,000. She stated that if the Board would look at the federal revenue line, they would see a shortage of \$466,000. She further stated, that while the expenditure was not made, the revenue wasn't recouped.

Ms. Salg stated that they are always working on two award years on federal grant monies. She stated that the school statements show expenditures whether they are paid or not and they also show purchase orders on items that they are committed to purchase, but have not paid yet. She stated that when they process a claim for grant reimbursement, it is done on the state website called Omega and that only allows them to see what carry-over grant monies they have left for the fiscal year. She stated that there would be an unspent balance in the grant instructional funds as of June 30 because of the overlap of the award years.

Mr. Jenkins stated that if the monies were left unspent, by July 1 they would lose control of the funds.

Ms. Salg stated that that was not entirely accurate because the federal awards are open and at the point when they have known grant balances, they have come and asked for a supplemental request.

Mr. Jenkins stated that there was a similar situation last year with unspent funds and he did not recall passing a supplemental appropriation.

Ms. Salg stated that when Mr. Bill Pennell was the County Administrator, he handled the request.

Mr. Jenkins stated that the request may have been sent to Mr. Pennell, but by law it would have had to go through the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Larson stated that there is a distinction in this case. He stated that the Board of Supervisors appropriates local funds and what Ms. Salg is referring to are carry-over or grant funds. He stated that the County Administrator has the authority to grant increases in appropriations when they do not affect local funds. He stated that Mr. Jenkins was correct in saying that if it involved increases with local funds, then the Board is the only entity that can do that. He stated that what has always bothered him, is that these funds continue to carry over every year and never seem to get spent.

Ms. Salg stated that the funds do get spent out, but may not appear to because they are always working off two award years.

Ms. Salg stated that they do expect to have \$150,000, which is one percent of the school's budget revert back to the County. She stated that they are also paying their retirement contributions differently this year and it is coming out later in the fiscal year.

Ms. Salg stated that they have about \$162,000 of orders that are being processed for technology and special education.

Mr. Jenkins asked if they would be used in this fiscal year.

Ms. Salg replied yes, that they would be delivered and installed in this fiscal year.

Ms. Salg stated that she understood there was a concern about the fuel costs for May. She stated that that expense came from a high level of field trips and athletic trips.

Ms. Salg stated that they have employee contracts still pending and are trying to recruit for next year and wanted to make the Board aware of it.

Mr. Jenkins stated that he understood and wished the time line could have been different this year and stated that the Board has to put the consideration into their decisions.

Mr. Palin stated that they should try to expedite the school budget as soon as they can.

BOARD REPORTS

Mr. Jenkins made a motion to recommend Mr. Steven Sorensen to continue to serve on the Board of Zoning Appeals. He stated that the appointment is made by the Circuit Court Judge.

VOTE:	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	Jason D. Bellows	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	William R. Lee	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Mr. Pleva stated that the next work session for the Board would be Tuesday, June 4, 2013 at 4:30 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion was made by Mr. Beauchamp to adjourn to Tuesday, June 4, 2013 at 4:30 p.m.

VOTE:	F. W. Jenkins, Jr.	Aye
	Jason D. Bellows	Aye
	Ernest W. Palin, Jr.	Aye
	William R. Lee	Aye
	B. Wally Beauchamp	Aye